check, as conducted in 1937 by members of the Division of Sugar Plant Investigations is presented. On the basis of the 1937 test, the guarded conclusion is drawn that the variety performed satisfactorily where tricd, excopt in Minnesota where, because of low acre-yield of roots and sucrose percentage about equal to the check, the calculated acre-yields of sugar were significantly below the yield of the check. The leaf-spot resistance of the variety was conclusively shown; strongest superiority of the variety over check was manifested in those cases in which leaf spot was a serious factor.

VARIETY TESTS BY THE HOLLY SUGAR CORPORATION

C. E. Cormany, Chief Agronomist

Three brief summary charts are shown covering results of the variety tests in (a) Montana and Wyoming, (b) Western Slope of Colorado and (c) The Hamilton City, California, area.

American varieties are compared to a standard commercial check of the intermediate type in the California and Montana-Wyoming tests, U. S. 34 being used for check in the Delta test.

From the data presented in these tables, the American varieties compare favorably with, or exceed the yields of the check and are not significantly different from the check in the California and Montana-Wyoming areas.

In the curly-top area near Delta, Colorado, curly-top resistant strain, U. S. #12, was better than U. S. #34 and #600 but not significantly so.

The non-durly-top resistant varieties were inferior to the curly-top resistant varieties in the Delta tests, as was to be expected.

Variety Summary-Hamilton City, California-Total Plant Yield

Variety	% Sucrose	Tons per Acre	Sugar Per Acre
U.S. 33	17.63	13.588	4808
U.S. 34	17.33	14.815	5160
H.S. 36	18.23	13.896	5059
HGW 36	17.90	15.535	5559
Check	17.40	14.638	5102
Z Value	• 5295	•7634	•5702
5% Point	• 4090	•4090	•4090
Diff. Req. for Sig	.8636	1.7332	•647
SEm in % Gen. M.	1.74%	4.32%	4•59%

According to these analyses no variety differs significantly from the commercial check.

	<u><u><u></u></u></u>	arreny bu		1102012, 00 11	VUILLIS		CEV 4005	40	
		US	US	HS	HGW		•	Dif Req	SEm in
	Loc.	34	217	36	36	Check	SEm	For sig	% GenM
		11	1		150		7007	0051	0.05
%	Torr.		15.4	16.1	15.9	15.1	.3201	•0954 1•3342	2.05
p Suc.	Wor. Sher.		15.8	15.6	15.6	15.9	.2250	.6364	1.43
w uu e		15.0	14.8	15.4	14.8	15.4	.2494	.7054	1.62
	Ave.	15.8	15.7	16.0	15.8	16.0		-1-5	
				General M			- 1		
		Diff. r	eq. for s	ignifican	.ce - •	1654 x 2	12 = .4	678	
	Town	21.894	19.727	22.436	22 515	23.347	.8719	2.4661	3.94
Tons	Wor.		21.434	18.480		20.571		2.1204	3.53
Per		17.509	16.934	17.850	18.742	16.052	.7558	2.1378	4.47
Acre		17.560	16.877	18.452	19.766	19.478	1.0006	2,8301	5.55
-	Ave.	19.667	18.743	19.304	20.953	19.862			
SE of a General Mean = .4251									
	Diff. req. for significance = .4251 x $2\sqrt{2}$ = 1.2024								
		6700	Codo	77.00	77 (7	7061	775 50	doo	1 56
Sug.	Torr. Wor.	6729 7338	6080 7194	7199 6226	7163 7692	7064 7181	315.50 453.59	892 1283	4.56
per	Sher.		5351	5544	5838		250.66	709	4.62
Acre	Sid.	5261	4992	5682	5842	5991	283.98	803	5.12
	Ave.	6226	5904	6163	6634	6334			
			SE of a	General	Mean =	167-47			
		Diff.	req. for	significa	ince a	167.47 ×	2/2 =	473.67	
According to these analyses no variety differs significantly from the									

According to these analyses no variety differs significantly from the commercial check.

Variety %	Sucrose	Tons per Acre	Sugar Per Acre
U.S. 12	18.19	17.80	6461
U.S. 34	17.86	17.03	6068
600	17.38	17.20	5977
U.S. 217	18.09	13.46	4870
H.S. 36	18.60	15.18	5648
HGW 36	18.08	13.37	4828
Z Value	•9607	•9647	•9715
5% Point	•4783	•4783	•4783
Diff. req. for Sig.	•4372	2.108	714
Sem in % Gen. M.	0•86%	4.75%	4.47%

Variety Summary-Delta Colorado--Comp. beet basis

According to these analyses no variety is significantly superior to U. S. 34 in yield per acre in tons or sugar per acre but U.S. 217 and H.G.W. 36 are significantly below U.S. 34. In % sucrose H.S. 36 is significantly superior to U.S. 34 and 600 is significantly inferior to U.S. 34.

Variety Summary-Montana & Wyoming-Comp. best Basis