Survey of Beet Sugar Agriculture in 1947

P. B. SMITH¹

Scope of Survey

IN BOTH 1946 AND 1947 The Beet Sugar Development Foundation conducted surveys designed to obtain information on the various agricultural phases of sugar beet production. Questionnaires were sent out to all of the sugar factory areas in the United States and part of Canada and in 1946 covered 67 percent of the beet acreage. In 1947 the returns were from over 90 percent. From this data certain figures were tabulated based on the grouping of the various sugar production areas into five groups which include those as follows: (1) California; (2) Oregon, Washington, Idaho, western Montana, western Colorado, Arizona, Nevada and Utah; (3) eastern Montana, western North Dakota, Wyoming, western South Dakota, Nebraska, western Kansas, Colorado, New Mexico and Texas; (4) Minnesota, eastern North and South Dakota and Iowa; (5) Wisconsin, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana and Ohio.

In 1947, based on the areas reporting, there would have been 49,161 contracts. This figure does not correspond with the total number of farms raising sugar beets inasmuch as one farm unit may have more than one contract. Generally, however, the two totals will not be very far apart. Information is given as to the average size of contracts by group.

Explanation of Data

Figures indicate that in 1947 four percent more acreage was planted with processed beet seed as compared with 1946. Decorticated seed was used to some extent in 1947 and only experimentally in 1946. Precision planters increased from a total of 191,280 acres planted in 1946 to 381,518 acres in 1947. This indicates that a great deal of interest was excited in the use of improved drilling equipment.

It will be noted that the row widths vary widely and that probably the different widths have come into use largely because of their suitability to local conditions such as irrigation ease, use of common machinery with other row crops, mechanical harvesting, crop yields and a number of other similar reasons. A large percentage of the California acreage is planted on beds hence the alternate row spacing combinations.

It is interesting to note the tendency to increase the acreage thinned with methods that might tend to save labor. for example, in 1947, 112,235 acres in Canada and United States were long-handled-hoe thinned as compared with 55,531 acres in 1946. This is slightly more than twice as much acreage so handled. In the same way, 16,769 acres were mechani-

cally blocked in 1947 as compared with 8,181 acres in 1946, or a substantial gain, on the part of farmers in labor-saving devices. Also it was noted in 1947, that 4,935 acres were finger weeded to either kill weeds or to thin beets, or both, as compared with only 218 acres reported in 1946. This also is good indication of the use by farmers of methods to reduce labor.

In the United States, in 1947, 28.99 percent of the acreage was mechanically harvested as compared with 16.83 percent in 1946. These proportions do not tell the entire story of the use of machines, however. A total 230,415 acres were mechanically harvested in 1947 as compared with only 94,336 acres in 1946. A report lists the acreage and proportions by number of the more important harvesters. Also, it gives figures in detail as to the handling of beet tops.

The report includes some detailed figures as to the fertilizer applications, including the acreage planted, the average rate and the method used. This also is grouped including green manuring as well as barnyard manuring. It is interesting to note that there is an increase of about 5 percent of 1947 acreage covered with commercial fertilizer increased over 1946.

It is planned to continue these Foundation sponsored surveys and it is hoped that after an accumulation of figures from a period of time that certain trends and lessons can be learned from application of agronomic results

Statistical Data—Questionnaires sent out to beet sugar factory districts in United States and Canada. The following summary analysis is based on the returned questionnaires which report on 90 percent of the total harvested acreage for the two countries. The area subdivisions in United States are given in Appendix I.

		Combined totals for U.S. and Canada	Total for U.S. alone	Total for Canada alone	Total for area 1	Total for area 2	Total for area 3	Total for area 4	Total for area 5
PER	CENT OF AREA REPORTING	90	90	95	89	88	95	100	71
GEN	ERAL INFORMATION:								
1.	Number of contracts	44,245	38,941	5,304	2,182	11,736	15,133	1,265	8,625
2.	Average size of contract (acres)	19.24	20.41	10.67	61.00	15.73	22.83	41.21	9.23
3.	Number of contracts								
	a. 15 acres or less	24,098	20,214	3,884	311	7,159	5,678	166	6,900
	Percent of total number contracts	54.47	51.91	73.00	14.00	61.00	38.00	13.00	80.00
	b. 16 through 49 acres	16,939	15,639	1,300	905	4,342	8,055	698	1,639
	Percent of total number contracts	38.28	40.16	25.00	42.00	37.00	53.00	55.00	19.00
	c. 50 acres or over	3,208	3,088	120	966	235	1,400	401	86
	Percent of total number contracts	7.25	7.93	2.00	44.00	2.00	9.00	32.00	1.00
4.	Acres harvested	851,315	794,741	56,574	133,108	184,630	:345,294	52,125	79,584
5.	Average yield per acre (tons)	13.92	14.16	10.48	18.75	16.72	13.50	8.62	7.12
6.	Average sucrose percentage	16.15	16.11	16.73	16.6:3	16.17	15.99	14.85	15.69
SEEL	INFORMATION:								
1.	Number acres planted with ungraded whole seed	67.011	45,054	21,957	8,697	2.899	11,374	3,290	18,794
	Percent of total acres planted	7.99	5.77	33.64	6.00	1.50	3.07	5.83	19.50
2.	Number of acres planted with graded whole seed.	25,521	25,521		13,350	21	2,940		9,210
	Percent of total acres planted	3.12	3.39		9.22	.03	.79		9.56
3.	Number of acres planted with processed seed								
	a. Segmented	812,860	769,553	43,307	107,452	186,665	355,584	53,105	66,747
	Percent of total acres planted	86.73	88.50	66.36	74.19	98.45	95.96	94.17	69.26
	b. Decorticated	15,980	15,980		15,340		640		
	Percent of total acres planted	1.96	2.12		10.59		.18		
	c. Pellets	1,644	1,644			17	3		1,624
	Percent of total acres planted	.02	.22			.02			1.68

	Monthly and allowed the annutation of the	405.005	001 510	00.747	00.005	114005	150 500		CO 00
	Number acres planted with precision planter Percent of total acres planted	405,265 43.91	381,518 44.48	23,747 36.39	22,067 15.24	114,037 60.15	170,590 46.04	14,743 26.14	60,08 62.3
	Number acres planted with non-precision planter Percent of total acres planted	517,753 56.09	476,236 55.52	41,517 63.61	122,772 84.76	75,567 39.85	199,951 53.96	41,652 73.86	36,29 37.6
3.	Number planters calculated for 100 percent report	15,148	13,585	1,481	1,413	2,295	7,440	710	1,64
	John Deere Nos. 4, 12, 112 Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	4.52 4.10	4.29 3.98	6.32 5.92		14.08 15.48	4.50 4.05		2.7 1.8
	John Deere Nos. 7, 10, 11, 32 Conv.								
	Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	5.64 4.96	6.10 4.79	1.99 7.52	1.10 .66	12.97 12.15	7.74 6.68		
	John Deere Nos. 11, 21, 55 Percent of total number	9.50	8.10	20.54	1.67	3.78	8.66		19.5
	Percent of total acres planted	10.00	9.26	19.00	2.64	4.11	8.22		33.
	John Deere Nos. 22, 21, 55 Conv.				0.50				
	Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	10.45 10.90	11.02 10.68	6.04 14.03	2.78 5.26	11.42 6.86	11.93 12.80	.70 1.73	20. 19.
	John Deere No. 18								
	Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	2.84 4.50	3.14 4.85	.57 .85	12.16 16.72	.22 .19	2.70 3.22	.28 .27	
	John Deere No. 18 Conv.								
	Percent of total numberPercent of total acres planted	2.80 3.20	$\frac{3.03}{3.33}$	1.07 2.15	.48 .84	4.55 2.31	2.80 4.18	12.68 11.25	
	John Deere No. 64								
	Percent of total numberPercent of total acres planted	1.62 1.91	1.52 1.80	2.42 3.55			.96 1.65		8. 7.
	John Deere No. 66								
	Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	2.82 4.61	3.12 4.98	.43	.16	1.66 2.37	2.89 5.04	10.42 18.11	4. 6.
	Rassmann	4.01	4.50	.00	.00	2.01	0.04	10.11	0.
	Percent of total number	1.02	1.13	.14		.22	.65	9.15	1.
	Percent of total acres planted	1.32	1.42	.16		.40	.44	14.85	
	Lindeman or Cobbley (on sleds or frames)	1.93	2.15	.14	3.18	19.40	.21		
	Percent of total acres planted	2.62	2.84	.40	4.26	14.77	.55	* . *	
	Oliver-Plate and internal feed								
	Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	4.75 4.77	5.06 4.66	2.27 6.38	2.38 4.76	3.99 5.44	3.10 2.31	13.53 13.26	15. 7

PROCEEDINGS -FIFTH GENERAL MEETING

	Combined totals for U.S. and Canada	Total for U.S. alone	Total for Canada alone	Total for area l	Total for area 2	Total for area 3	Total for area 4	Total for area 5
Moline (monitor and tractor mounted)								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	13.58 9.55	14.74 9.59	4.41 9.48	2.15 2.20		19.77 14.97	8.73 6.26	12.91 10.33
Case, old								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	1.08 .90	1.21 .97	.07 .09			1.47 1.17		$\frac{2.56}{3.12}$
Case, new or converted to machine plate								
Percent of total numberPercent of total acres planted	1.68 1.53	1.89 1.69		.08 .27	.22 .43	2.93 3.24		
Planet, Jr.								
Percent of total number	10.11 11.30	$\frac{11.39}{12.31}$		66.06 50.20	2.99 3.03	5.76 4.69		
Milton								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	.68 .79	.48 .56	2.27 3.51			.75 1.13		
I. H. C. No. 40								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	3.78 5.29	4.12 5.58	1.07 2.30	3.58 6.05	4.66 8.38	4.44 5.44	4.23 5.51	$\frac{2.31}{3.00}$
l. H. C. No. 40, converted to smooth tube								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	2.64 2.79	2.93 3.00	.28 .81	.32 .15	5.65 6.00	3.83 4.66		
I. H. C. No. 42								
Percent of total number Percent of total acres planted	2.78 4.83	3.13 5.28		1.75 2.93	2.55 7.88	2.97 4.86	12.39 18.25	.43 .52
I. H. C. No. 42, converted to smeoth tube								
Percent of total numberPercent of total acres planted	.92 1.26	1.04 1.40		.48 .87	2.77 3.38	1.19 1.73		
Others								
Percent of total numberPercent of total acres planted	3.10 2.13	.3.49 2.30		.08 .10	.78 .54	2.18 2.26	27.89 10.51	$\frac{2.39}{3.14}$
Seeding rate most common to your area								
WholeSegmented	12.40 4.80	12.50 4.84	10.00 4.30	13.00 4.90	15.00 4.10	11.00 5.10	6.50	10.71 3.63

PLANTER INFORMATION-Continued

List approximate acreages planted at various row width:

various row width:								
a. 18 inch		36,972 4.31	989 1.51	97 .01		700 .19	36,149 64.10	26 .0:3
b. 20 inch Percent of total acres planted		321,695 37.50	9,626 14.75	31,673 21.97	79,310 41.83	199,638 53.88	11,054 19,60	20 .02
c. 21 inch Percent of total acres planted		225				225 .06		
d. 22 inch Percent of total acres planted	,	239,303 27.90	39,789 60.97	1,736 1,20	85,473 45.08	128,475 34.67	4,820 8.55	18,799 19.51
e. 24 inch Percent of total acres planted	48,344	36,794 4.29	11,550 17.70	1,037	15,696 8.28	6,367 1.72	4,152 7.36	9,542 9.90
f. 26 inch Percent of total acres planted		8,952 1.04	270 .41	647 .45	620 .33	4,944 1.33	50 .09	2,691 2.79
g. 28 inch Percent of total acres planted	,	77,951 9.09	2,600 3.98	8,011 5,53	374 .20	4,319 1.17		65,247 67.79
h. 30 inch Percent of total acres planted	12,555	12,165 1.42	390	9,670 6.68	540	1,755 .47	150 .27	50 .05
i. 32 inch Percent of total acres planted		7,079	30 .05	6,991 4.82	74 .04	14 .01		
j. 34 inch Percent of total acres planted	6,891	6,891		6,891 4.75				
k. 36 inch	170	170 .02				170		
1. 38 inch	206	206				206		-
Percent of total acres planted m. 40 inch		.03 388				.06 388		***
Percent of total acres planted		.05 12,465		12,465		.10		
Percent of total acres planted	1.35	1.45		8.61				

PROCEEDINGS -FIFTH GENERAL MEETING

758	
AMERICAN	
SOCIETY	
OF	
SUGAR	
BEET	
Y OF SUGAR BEET TECHNOLOGISTS	

	Combined totals for U.S. and Canada	Total for U.S. alone	Total for Canada alone	Total for area 1	Total for area 2	Total for area 3	Total for area 4	Total for area 5
o. 12 x 32 inch Percent of total acres planted	125 .02	125 .02		125 .01				
p. 14 x 26 inch Percent of total acres planted	46,202 5.01	46,202 5.39		45,852 :31.77	350 .18			
q. 14 x 28 inch Percent of total acres planted	4,468 .48	4,468 .52		4,468 3.08				
r. 16 x 24 inch Percent of total acres planted	10,119 1.10	10,119 1.18		4,884 3.37	4,306 2.27	929 .25		
s. 18 x 22 inch Percent of total acres planted	18,304 1.98	18,304 2.13			130 .07	18,174 4.90		
t. 18 x 23 inch Percent of total acres planted	47 .01	47 .01				47 .01		
u. 18 x 24 inch Percent of total acres planted	700 .08	700 .09			700 .37			
v. 20 x 22 inch Percent of total acres planted	4,190 .45	4,190 .49				4,190 1.13		
w. 20 x 24 inch Percent of total acres planted	2,097 .23	2,097 .24		117 ,01	1,980 1.04			
x, 18 x 26 inch Percent of total acres planted	50 .01	50 .01			50 .03			
y. 20 x 40 inch Percent of total acres planted	733 .09	733 .10	20 .03	713 .49				
z. 24 x 32 inch Percent of total acres planted	20	20					20 .03	
Others Percent of total acres planted	9,462 1.03	9,462 1.10		9,462 6.53				
6. Number of acres planted with ridge covering Percent of total acres planted	5,111 .57	4,871 .57	240 .37		694 .37	4,177 1.13		
7. Number of acres treated with a soil fumigant prior to planting	3,574 .37	3,549 .40	25 .04	2,575 1.78	970 .51	4		

THIN	NING OPERATIONS:								
1.	Number of acres hand blocked and thinned (short-handle hoe)	707,361 81.11	679,196 83.25	28,165 49.76	129,643 97.35	171,806 91.34	300,446 85.08	23,605 41.91	53, 696 63.05
2.	Number of acres thinned with long-handled hoe Percent of total acres thinned	112,235 12.87	84,956 10.41	27,639 48.83	2,252 1.69	13,202 7.02	38,101 10.79		31,401 36.88
3.	Number of acres mechanically blocked Percent of total acres thinned	16,769 1.92	16,204 1.99	565 1.00	605 .45	500 .27	5,317 1.51	9,782 17.37	
4.	Number of acres mechanically blocked then cross cultivated	22,298 2.56	22,163 2.72	135 .24			17	22,146 39.32	
5.	Number of acres planted at low-seeding rate and hence requiring no thinning	1,499	1,499 .18		=:	1,310 .70	174 .05		15 .02
6.	Number of acres mechanically cross thinned Percent of total acres thinned	680 .08	680 .08			260 .14	134 .04	276 .49	10 .01
7.	Number of acres mechanically down-the-row thinned-	1,448 .17	1,368 .17	80 .14	685 .51	170 .09	513 .15		
8.	Number of acres mechanically down-the-row blocked Percent of total acres thinned	3,181 .36	3,171 .39	10 .02		257 .14	2,914 .83		
9.	Number of acres thinned with harrow or finger weeder Percent of total acres thinned	1,567 .18	1,567 .19			339 .18	1,064 .30	164 .29	
10.	Number of acres on which finger weeder used to either kill weeds, to thin beets or both	4,935 .57	4,935 .60			231 .12	4,404 1.25	300 .53	
11.	Number of beet acres on which chemical weed control practiced	90	85	5				50	30
	Percent of total acres thinned	.01 Salt	.01 Salt	.01 Salt				.09 Salt	.04 Salt

250#/A

b. Rate per acre _____ 250#/A

3005/A

200#/A

GENERAL

MEETING

	Combined totals for U.S. and Canada	Total for U.S. alone	Total for Canada alone	Total for area 1	Total for area 2	Total for area 3	Total for area 4	Total for area 5
ARVESTING OPERATIONS:								
1. Number of acres hand harvested Percent of total harvest acres	617,572 72.54	564,326 71.01	53,246 94.12	47,214 35.47	133,869 72.51	267,200 77.38	48,342 92.74	67,701 85.07
2. Number of acres mechanically harvested Percent of total harvest acres	233,743 27.46	230,415 28.99	3,328 5.88	85,894 64.53	50,761 27.49	78,094 22.62	3,783 7.26	11,883 14.93
3. Number of harvesters calculated for 100 percent report	3,790	3,688	102	453	1,088	1,793	65	289
a. International—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	24.30 22.50	24.20 22.28	27.84 36.93	6.95 5.39	20.56 28.35	30.12 37.44	87.69 86.10	5.85 4.50
b. Marbect, Sr.—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	6.15 25.35	6.33 25.74		50.12 64.39	.63 1.98	.18 .26		
c. Marbeet, Jr.—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	5.13 11.59	5.28 11.76		41.44 29.39		.53 .61		
d. John Deere—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres.	48.43 28.75	48.26 28.42	53.61 50.72		59.40 51.63	61.01 53.78		.49
e. Kiest—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	4.98 2.38	4.80 2.35	11.34 4.39		8.25 6.10	4.76 3.53		
f. Scott-Urschel—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	8.30 7.69	8.43 7.69	4.12 7.57		3.44 4.63	3.05 4.24	7.69 10.57	93.17 95.11
g. Others—Number Percent of total harvesters Percent of total mechanical harvested acres	2.71	2.70 1.76	3.09 ,39	1.49	7.72 7.31	.35	4.62 3.33	.49
4. Number of acres on which a "beater-topper" was used	19,666	19,666		190	19,463	13		
5. Number of "beater-toppers" in area	422	422	2	4	414	2		
6. Number of loaders used in area	9,014	8,603	411	2	1,580	5,983	454	584
7. Approximate harvested acreage handled with loaders Percent of total harvested acres	435,920 51.21	413.672 52.05	22,248 39.33	340 .26	41,188 22.31	279,236 80.87	46,806 89.80	46,102 57.93
ET-TOP UTILIZATION:								
ttual acreage and percentage of harvested acreage. Note that since certain methods of utilization permit the listing of the same acreage under more than one heading the total of the percentage figures will be greater than 100.								
	247,750 29.10	22.3,929 28.18	23,821 42.11	56,016 42.08	38,031 20.60	63,940 18.52	37,012 71.01	28,930 36,35

		Commission	. Dava con	***************************************						
HAR	VESTING OPERATIONS—Continued									
2.	Windrowed and left in field	140.712	123,180	17.532	1.895	5.571	98,058	6,750	10.906	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	16.53	15.50	30.99	1.42	3.02	28.40	12.95	13.70	
3.	Pastured immediately after harvest	400,950	371,452	29,498	64,935	129,510	131,914	10,312	34,781	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	47.10	46.74	52.14	48.78	70.15	38.20	19.78	43.70	
4.	Plowed under shortly after harvest for fertility value	54,266	52,726	1,540	36,369	5,108	3,873	2,626	4,750	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	6.37	6.63	2.72	27.32	2.77	1.12	5.04	5.97	
5.	Piled in small piles in the field	167,608	156,692	10,916	1,732	3,763	139,284	7,995	3,918	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	19.69	19.72	19.30	1.30	2.04	40.34	15.34	4.92	
6.	Stacked dry	22,471	22,371	100		100	21,484	112	675	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	2.64	2.81	.18		.05	6.22	.21	.85	
7.	Siloed:									
	a. Above ground	2,787	2,452	335		146	1,750	86	470	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	.27	31	.59	~-	.08	.51	.16	.59	
	b. In pits	3,022	2,922	100	320	640	1,852	****	110	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	.35	.37	.18	.24	.35	.54		.14	
8.	Dehydrated	40	40			40		n ~		
	Percent of total harvested acreage					.02				
9.	Hauled off field and fed as green feed	49.173	46.448	2,725	1,932	1,491	20,509	5,080	17,436	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	5.78	5.84	4.82	1.45	.81	5.94	9,75	21.91	
10.	Pastured before harvest	2,445	2,445				2,445			
	Percent of total harvested acreage	.31	.33				.71			
11.	Other	300	300						300	
	Percent of total harvested acreage	.04	.04						.40	
FER	TILIZERS:									
Perce	entage of planted acres, average rate and method of									
	olication. Since some acreage has had more than one									
tyr	be of fertilizer application, the total percentages of									
pla	nted acres will exceed 100 percent.									
1.	Manured for beetspercentage of planted acres	31.59	33.42	10.42	2.58	45.33	45.14	17.24	33.72	
2.	Green-manured for beetspercentage of planted acres	12.22	12.26	11.69	10.20	4.76	5.12	61.15	20.81	
3.	Acres on which complete commercial fertilizer added									
٠.	percentage of planted acres	27.47	25.63	48.75	19.23	9.55	11.10	43.40	94.81	
	a. Average rate	250.00	260.00	195.00	558.00	151.00	151.00	159.00	254.00	
	b. Method of application (percent)									
	A	3.88	7.78		25.00	2.50	1.77	6.70	2.91	
	В	2.06	3.12	1.00	3.75	2.50	7.54	1.00	.83	
	C	11.05	12.11	10.00	12.50	7.50	26.33		14.50	
	D	38.64	26.21	51.00		10.00	5.76	90.27	27.92	
	E	28.08	18.16	38.00		15.00	21.38	1.70	53.59 .25	
	F	4.10	8.20		31.25	5.00	4.46			
	G	11.44	22.88		27.50	55.00	31.69 .46			
	H	.46	.92			0.50	.46			
	I	.28	.59	- ~		2.50	.15			
	J	.01	.03				.19			

PROCEEDINGS---FIFTH GENERAL MEETING

	Combined totals for U.S. and Canada	Total for U.S. alone	Total for Canada alone	Total for area 1	Total for area 2	Total for area 3	Total for area 4	Total for area 5
Acres on which commercial phosphate added-								
percentage of planted acres	44.15	43.95	46.45	24.30	66.32	53.98	69.25	.52
a. Average rate	160.00	166.00	92.00	629.00	120.00	110.00	100.00	400.00
b. Method of application (percent)								
A	1.88	3.77			16.57	2.30		
В	2.98	5.97		8.68	12.28	8.80		
C	38.87	27.75	50.00	4.00	3.00	31.75	100.00	100.0
D	34.30	28.53	40.00		16.45	26.25		
E	10.40	10.81	10.00	16.66	11.99	25.35		
F	4.65	9.31		38.50	8.00	.05		
G	5.23	10.47		32.16	17.00	3.20		
Н	.06	.12			.57	.05		
I	1.53	3.06			13.14	2.20		
J	.10	.21			1.00	.05		
Acres on which commercial nitrogen added— percentage of planted acres a. Average rate	25.46 225.00	2:3.68 247.00	46.00 91.00	57.92 378.00	36.41 126.00	16.94 131.00	.53 125.00	300.0
_	223.00	241.00	51.00	010.00	120.00	131.00	123.00	300.0
b. Method of application (percent)								
A	1.31	2.63		~	13.16			
В	1.38	2.79		9.50	4.42	.05		
c	29.68	21.73	37.50	2.50	2.42	3.75		100.0
D	20.34	.67	40.00	.50		2.85		
E	6.17	2.34	10.00		2.57	9.15		
F	2.86	5.72		6.25	17.71	4.64		
		56.49	12.50	67.50	53.87	61.01	100.00	
C		00.70	12.00					
G		7.05						
Н	3.52	7.05		13.75	3.00	18.50		
		7.05 .58		13.75	2.85	18.50 .05		

6. Acres on which commercial potash added alone- percentage of planted acres	.20	.22		.22	 .37		
a. Average rate	99.00	99.00		20.00	 118.00		
b. Method of application (percent)							
A	2.91	2.91			 5.83		
C	13.33	13.33			 26.66		
Ď	7.49	7.49			 14.99		
E	4.35	4.35		**	 8.69		
F		2020			 .555.0		
Gн	67.75	67.75	~ ~ ~	100.00	 35.50		
·	4.17	4.17			 8.33		
J	4.17	4.11			 0.00		
7. Acres on which commercial minor elements added-							
percentage of planted acres	.43	.46		.02	 .22		2.75
a. Average rate	18.00	18.00		20.00	 25.00		16.00
b. Method of application (percent)							
A					 		
В	16.67	16.67			 		50.00
<u>c</u>	4.65	4.65			 14.00 38.00		10.00
D	16.00 22.34	16.00 22.34			 38.00 27.00		40.00
F					 		
G	38.67	38.67		100.00	 16.00		
H	1.67	1.67			 5.00		
I					 	~	
J					 		

KEY TO METHOD OF APPLICATION:

- A-Broadcast then plowed under.
- B-Broadcast then disced or worked into soil after plowing.
- C-Drilled in with combination grain and fertilizer drill.
- $D\!\cdots\!\!-\!With$ planter in seed furrow.
- E---With planter alongside of row.
- F- Side-dressed immediately after planting.
- G-Side-dressed after thinning.
- H-Applied in irrigation water.
- I-Phosphate placed on manure in feedlot or spreader.
- J-Other (list method and percentage).