Breeding for Resistance to Curly Top in Hybrids With Monogerm Beets

ALBERT M. MURPHY AND V. F. SAVITSKY1

Introduction

The original parental monogerm plants, SLC 101 and SLC 107, were derived from the curly-top-susceptible variety Michigan Hybrid 18. Therefore, it was not expected that these monogerm beets would show curly-top resistance.

Experimental Methods

To obtain monogerm curly-top-resistant varieties, the first monogerm beets (SLC 101 and SLC 107) were crossed to the multigerm curly-topresistant self-sterile strains SL 92, SL 824, SL 88-3 and SL 941 furnished by Dr. F. V. Owen, and to the non-bolting variety U. S. 75 furnished by Dr. J. S. McFarlane. SL 92, a selection from the widely grown commercial variety U. S. 22/3, represents the very highest degree of curly-top resistance. SL 824, also known as U. S. 35/2, is a high-sugar type also selected from U. S. 22/3. SL 88-3 and SL 941 represent hybrids between U. S. 22/3 and the sugar-type variety U. S. 35. The non-bolting variety U. S. 75 was developed from two successive selections from U. S. 22/3.

 F_1 seed was produced by bagging the plants of self-sterile curly-topresistant varieties and pollinating them with pollen from monogerm beets. In order to speed up the breeding program, F_1 seed was produced in the greenhouse at Salt Lake City during the spring of 1949. Through the courtesy of Vernal Jensen, F_2 hybrids and most of the backcross populations were produced in the Amalgamated Sugar Company greenhouse at Ogden, Utah, and ripe seed was harvested in early June, 1950.

Study and selection of hybrids in 56 F_1 , F_2 and backcross (b₁) populations were conducted in a special nursery during the summer of 1950 and 1951 at Jerome, Idaho. Each hybrid was planted in a single row 480 feet long. The curly-top exposure was increased by planting these hybrid populations in June and also by the procedure worked out in the beet breeding field whereby plantings were made adjacent to susceptible beets planted about two months earlier. The field in which this work was done bordered the semi-desert weed areas which harbor the beet leafhopper. Virulent strains of the curly-top virus were introduced by transplanting diseased beets from the previous year. When the seedlings emerged from the test plantings the older susceptible beets were already showing severe curly-top symptoms. These older beets also served as a host for new generations of virus-bearing beet leafhoppers. The late planting of the hybrid populations in this environment provided a very effective method for selecting for curlytop resistance. In September an appraisal of curly-top resistance was made for each population of beets and expressed as a curly-top grade recorded with a range of one to five. Selections from the plantings were made in November

¹ Agropomist and Collaborator, Division of Sugar Plant Investigations, Bureau of Plant industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering. Agricultural Research Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, in cooperation with the Curly Top Resistance Breeding Committee.

Experimental Results

Resistance to Curly Top in Parents

During the two years of the experiment, SLC 101 showed only a low degree of resistance to curly top (Table 1). The original variety Michigan Hybrid 18, in which SLC was found, was still more susceptible and, in fact, was as susceptible as any European variety tested.

Table 1.—Curly-top Resistance of the Monogerm Beet SLC 101 Compared with F₂ Populations, Backcross Populations and Different Sugar-Beet Varieties.

| | | Average curly-top | Percent of plants with curly-top grades,4 as follows: | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|------------|-----|----|----|
| Variety | Үсаг | grade ¹ | 1 | Ž | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Monogerm SLC 101 | 1950 | 4.15 | | | 19 | 46 | 55 |
| Mapogerm SLC 101 | 1951 | 4.31 | | | 8 | 54 | 38 |
| Mich. Hybrid 18 | 1950 | 4.84 | | | | 17 | 83 |
| Klein E., SL 1-800 | 1950 | 4.84 | | | 7 | 33 | 60 |
| Klein E., SL 1-300 | 1951 | 4.42 | | | 8 | 42 | 50 |
| US 33, SL 333 | 1950 | 5.97 | | | 26 | 49 | 25 |
| US 35, 5L 333 | 1951 | 3.80 | | | 36 | 36 | 24 |
| US 35/2. SI 824 | 1950 | 2.00 | 16 | 72 | 8 | 4 | |
| SL 92 | 1950 | 1.86 | 30 | 56 | 12 | 2 | |
| SL 92 | 1951 | 1.64 | 50 | 58 | 10 | 2 | |
| F ₂ Populations | derived | from SL 92) | (Mon | ogerni SLC | 201 | | |
| Fs open pollinated | 1950 | 2.40 | 6 | 64 | 16 | 12 | 2 |
| F ₂ open polligated | 1951 | 2.34 | 18 | 40 | 94 | 6 | 2 |
| Fs self-pollinated | 1951 | 2.30 | 14 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 14 |
| | Backe | ross populati | 0.DLL | | | | |
| SL 92 x (SL 92 x SLC 101) | 1950 | 2.35 | 10 | 66 | 2 | 22 | |
| SL 92 x (SL 92 x SLC 101) | 1951 | 2.06 | 24 | 48 | 22 | 4 | 2 |
| SL 824 x (SL 92 x SLC 101) | 1950 | 2.22 | 14 | 62 | 12 | 12 | |
| SU 824 x (SU 92 x SUC 101) | 1951 | 2.16 | 28 | 40 | 20 | 8 | 4 |
| SLC 101 x (SL 92 x SLC 101) | 1951 | 3.74 | | 2 | 20 | 44 | 54 |

Curly-top grades:

1—plants showing very little infection 2—plants showing slight leaf curling 3—plants showing pronounced curling and some dwarfing 4—plants showing bronounced curling and dwarfing 5—plants near death from the disease

First Hybrid Generation F₁

Hybridization of the monogerm beet SLC 101 with curly-top-resistant varieties increased the resistance in the F_1 hybrids as compared with the susceptible parent. A similar increase in resistance was observed in triple hybrids derived from backcrosses of the curly-top-resistant varieties to F_1 hybrids between the two susceptible varieties, the mangel or fodder beet and SLC 101 (Table 2).

F₂ Generation

 F_2 hybrids between curly-top-resistant varieties and the monogerm SLC 101 showed a higher degree of curly-top resistance than SLC 101 (Table 1). F_2 populations, as expected, were not uniform with respect to curly-top resistance when compared with F_1 hybrids. The number of plants in extreme classes was higher in F₂ populations because of segregation. Both curly-top-resistant and curly-top-susceptible plants appeared in the F_2 populations. Table 1 shows that the resistance to curly top in open-pollinated F_2 populations did not decrease as compared to that in populations obtained from selfing. In the open-pollinated populations some hundreds of F_1 plants, derived from crosses to many unrelated curly-top-resistant plants with SLC 101, were propagated together.

First Backcross Generation

Hybrids in the first backcross generation derived from recurrent crosses of F_1 hybrids to curly-top-resistant varieties showed very good resistance to curly top (Table 1). High resistance to curly top was also maintained in backcross populations when different curly-top-resistant varieties were involved in the first and second hybridization. In both cases there were very few severely injured plants. On the other hand, when SLC 101 was used as a recurrent parent in the backcross operation, only a small percentage of plants show'ed definite curly-top resistance.

Table 2.-Curly-top Resistance in SLC 101, SL 92 and the Backcross involving a Hybrid to Mangel or Fodder Beet.

| | | Average curly-top | Percent of plants with curly-top grades, as follows: | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|----------------------|---|----|----|----|----|
| Variety | Ycar | grade | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Monogerm SLC 101 | 1951 | 4.31 | | | 8 | 54 | 58 |
| SL 824 x F1 (Mangel x SLC 101) | 1951 | 2.86 | 6 | 24 | 50 | 18 | 2 |
| SL 92 | 1951 | 1.64 | 50 | 38 | 10 | 2 | |

Reselections for Curly-Top Resistance

Severe infection of F_2 plants at Jerome, Idaho, made it possible to conduct very rigid selection work. A total of 1,212 of the most resistant and vigorous plants in 43 F_2 hybrid populations was selected in 1950. The best of these beets were replanted in the greenhouse at Salt Lake City. The remaining were planted in the spring in a field isolation. Only a few monogerm segregates were recovered from these F_2 populations. From 1,212 plants only 69 (5.6 percent) appeared to be monogerm. In populations grown from the same F_2 families without curly-top exposure and selection, the expected 3:1 ratios were obtained for multigerm and monogerm segre

| | F: Genotypes Determined from F ₂ Segregations | | | | |
|------------------|--|-------|------|-------|--|
| | RR | Rr | rr | Total | |
| Number of lines | 11 | 13 | 2 | 29 | |
| Percent observed | 48.27 | 44.83 | 6.89 | 100 | |
| Percent expected | 25 | 50 | 25 | 100 | |

Table 3.-Seedling Color in F3 Lines.

gates. The deficiency in monogerm plants after selection for curly-top resistance may have been caused in a significant degree by the death of replants with white hypocotyl color and also the Rr heterozygotes (Table 3). To obtain authentic hybrids, curly-top-resistant rr plants were always used as female parents and pollinated with the pollen of the monogerm SLC 101, which is homozygous RR for red hypocotyl color.

It can be assumed that, because of the severe exposure of F_2 hybrids to curly top at Jerome, Idaho, the newly selected monogerm races would manifest a high degree of curly-top resistance. One of the new monogerm lines, SLC 175, and an F₁ hybrid between this line and the curly-top-resistant variety SL 824, showed high curly-top resistance in greenhouse tests conducted by Dr. N. J. Giddings in the fall of 1951. This material, which represents a combination of curly-top resistance with the monogerm character, will facilitate further breeding work for development of monogerm varieties suitable for use in areas subjected to curly-top damage.