## Viable Hybrids from Matings of Chard with Beta Procumbens and B. Webbiana<sup>1</sup>

JOHN O. GASKILL/<sup>2</sup>

The three viny species of *Beta*—*B. palellaris* Moq., *B. procumbens* Chr. Sm., and *B. webbiana* Moq. (Figure 1)—possess certain very desirable char-acters which are not known to occur in the sugar beet, *B. vulgaris* L. (1, 2)<sup>3</sup>. Attemps to transfer these characters to sugar beet have failed consistently, the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids ordinarily dying while very small seedlings. Stewart reported obtaining a single F<sub>1</sub> plant—sugar beet x. *B. procumbens*—which produced fairly vigorous growth and from which the first and second backcross gene-rations were obtained, the sugar beet serving as the recurring parent (2). However, the plants of the second backcross generation died while relatively small, without producing seed, bringing the series to a disappointing end.

At Fort Collins, Colorado, in 1945, chard (sometimes designated as *B. vulgaris* var. cicla (L<sub>..</sub>) Moq.) was included in a series of matings between *B. vulgaris* and the three species of the section *Patellares* in the hope that it would be more compatible with the wild material than sugar beet had proved to be. A single flowering plant of the chard variety, Fordhook Giant, was pollinated liberally with a mixture of pollen from the three wild species. Three individual sugar beet plants were similarly pollinated. Seed harvested from the chard plant and from each of the sugar beets produced abundant F<sub>1</sub> seedlings which were distinctly different from the chard and sugar beet selfs.

As usual, none of the hybrids having sugar beet as a parent survived beyond the early seedling stage. However, a small percentage of those from the chard cross did survive and eventually grew to a height of several feet. Young F<sub>1</sub> seedlings representative of the outcome of the four hybrid-izations are depicted in Figure 2. Chard hybrids at a later stage are shown in Figure 3. All of the chard hybrids appeared to be pollen sterile, but several produced seeds, similar to those described by Stewart (2), following pollination by *B. vulgaris.* Several seedlings representing the first backcross were obtained from those seeds. In general they were extrmely weak, most of them died while very small, and no concrete results were obtained.

Although the 1945 trial failed to produce tangible results, it stimulated interest in this approach to the problem. Subsequently, attempts were made to repeat the process, using *B. patellaris*, alone, as the pollinator. Chard material used as the included plants thought to be tetraphoid as well as the ordinary diploid type. All these attempts failed to produce healthy  $F_1$  hybrids.

<sup>1</sup> Report of a study made by the Field Crops Research Branch, Agricultural Research, Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, in cooperation with the Bodanay and Plant Pathology Section, Coorado Agricultural Experiment Station, This pager has been approved for pub lication approach and the section of the section of



In the spring of 1953 eight matings of chard with *B. procumbers* and fifteen matings with *B. webbiana* were attempted. The same variety of chard was used as in 1945. For each mating, branches of a single chard plant and branches of one or more plants of one of the wild species were enclosed in a bag and allowed to interpollinate. The seed lots harvested from the respective chard individuals were planted in the greenhouse on August 19, 1953. Seedling emergence was practically complete by September 2, and counts made on that date (Table 1) showed that  $F_1$  plants had been obtained from 21 of the 23 attempted matings, the total number amounting to approximately 1,035.



Figure 2.--F, seedlings from four crosses, B. vulgaris  $^{9}$  x species of the section Patellares. The upper three rows represent, respectively, three crosses having sugar beet as the  $^{9}$  parent. A sugar beet plant occurs at the left in each of those rows. The bottom row represents hybrids having chard as the  $^{9}$  parent: chard plant shown at left. The picture was taken November 29, 1945, 2 months after planting. The pots originally contained one plant each, but many of the hybrids had died before the picture was taken. Note that the only hybrids showing promise of survival are from the chard cross. Table 1.-F. Hybrids Obtained from 25 Attempted Matings of Chard with 2 Species of the Section Patellares.

	Chard ? x H. procumbent	Chard Q X R. webbiana	Total
Total No. of crosses attempted	8	15	23
Fi seedlings emerged on or before 9/2/53:			
No. of ctosses	7	14	21
No. of plants (approx.)	443	590	L.085
Healthy appearing Fi seedlings at least			
6 inches high, 1/18/54:			
No. of crosses	3	2	5
Total No. of plants	6	19	25
No. of plants flowering	1	5	6

<sup>1</sup> Data obtained from a partial planting of seed, August 19, 1953. The hybrids were readily distinguishable from the chard selfs, which were discated. As in the 1945 trial, most of the F, seedlings were unthrifty and died early. A small percentage of those obtained from several matings survived the lethal stage and appeared quite healthy 5 months after plant-ing (Table 1 and Figure 4). Considerable variation was observed both in vigor and in foliage type, among these plants—even among those having

150

the same species as the  $\sigma^{0}$  parent. As indicated in Figures 1 and 4 the hybrids were distinctly different from either parent but tended to resemble the wild type more closely. The few which were flowering on January 18, 1954, appeared to be pollen sterile. It is interesting to note the similarity between these hybrids (Figure 4) and those obtained from the 1945 trial (Figure 8). This observation and the failure to obtain viable hybrids when *B. patellaris* was used as the only source of wild pollen indicate that this species was not a parent of any of the healthy hybrids obtained in 1945.



Figure 3.—F, hybrids, chard  $\hat{\gamma}$  x the section Patellares, February 26, 1946, five months after planting. The specimen at the left was approximately 2 feet high when the picture was taken; the others were about 6 inches high.

The counts made on January 18, 1954, (Table 1) show a total of 25 F, plants, at least 6 inches high, classed as healthy on that date. Three different matings of chard x B. procumbens and two of chard x B. webbiana were represented among those 25 plants. Sixteen of the twenty-five were more than 1 foot high and six were flowering.

Many problems must be solved if desirable characters of the section *Patellares* are to be utilized for genetic improvement of the sugar beet. In the past the first problem—the lethal condition of the  $F_1$  seedlings—has essentially precluded research on all others. The results reported in this paper apparently offer a means of bypassing the first serious obstacle, thus opening the way for vigorous attack on some of the others. Chard is closely related to sugar beet, crosses readily with it, and the hybrids are vigorous and fertile. Consequently, if desirable genes could be transferred successfully passed on to sugar beet.

## Summary

Successful outcome of hybridization in 1945 and again in 1953, between chard as female parent and Beta procumbens and B. webbiana as



Figure 4.—A chard plant, at left, and  $\mathbf{F}_1$ , hybrids representing four different matings, chard  $\forall$  x species of the section *Patellares*. The two hybrids at the tight are chard x *B. procumbens;* the other two are chard x *B. web-biana*. The picture was taken January 15, 1954, approximately 5 months after planting.

pollen sources, is reported. In the more recent work, a total of 23 attempted matings gave rise to approximately 445 and 500 F, plants from *B. procumbens* and *B. webbiana*, respectively. Six plants of the first group and 19 of the second were classed as healthy in January, 1954, at the age of 5 months. One plant of chard  $\times B$ , procumbens and 5 of chard  $\times B$ , webbiana were in flower at that time. Hybridizations with chard may afford a technique for transfer of genes from these wild species to sugar beet.

## Literature Cited

Coons, G. H. 1938. Wild species of the genus Beta. Proc. Amer. Soc. Sugar Beet Tech. 1st General Meeting: 74-76.

(2) STEWART, DEWEY. 1950. Sugar beet x Beta procumbens, the F<sub>1</sub> and backcross genera-tions. Proc. Amer. Soc. Sugar Beet Tech. 6th General Meeting: 176-179.

NOTE—Soon after the above article bad been prepared, the mots of seculings which had in grown for three months in soil infested with sugar beet mematode (Heterolero schachtij midt) were washed and examined microscopically. Specimens of the female nematode re found on 29 or 50 sugar beet plants examined and on all of the 7 chard is in this is but were not lound on any of the r hybrids—chard x B. procumbers (2 leftants) and tid x, areblina (4 plants). Most of the hybrids were small, and the resultant is und sidered as conclusive. but they do suggest that the high degree of nermatode resistance, white to be a characteristic of the 2 wild species, was transmitted to the hybrids.