Inheritance of the Number of Flowers in Flower Clusters of Beta Vulgaris L.

V. F. SAVITSKY¹

The monogerm character, which is now being utilized in breeding work with sugar, fodder and table beets in America and in western Europe, originated from the line SLC 101 mm $(4)^2$. A single basic recessive gene *m* is responsible for this character. Some other genes may modify the expression of the gene *m*, causing the appearance of a few "double-germ" fruits on monogerm plants (5).

The present paper is devoted to a study of inheritance of the number of flowers in a flower cluster of *Beta vulgaris* L. and is limited to an analysis of genetical phenomena brought about by action of the basic genes. The term monogerm refers to one flower and only one germ for each fruit, whereas the term multigerm refers to two or more flowers or possible germs for each compound fruit or flower cluster (usually referred to here as the "cluster"). This flower cluster in multigerm beets on maturity becomes the seed ball (2)

Materials

Due to the self-fertility of SLC 101 mm, all Fj hybrids with this line were found to be self-fertile. The self-fertility was retained in subsequent generations because all $F_t,\,F_0,\,$ etc., hybrids were obtained through selfing (1 and 3).

The hybrids studied originated from crosses of the monogerm beet SLC 101 mm with different multigerm races of sugar, fodder and table beets.

Methods

F, hybrids were obtained by exchanging pollinating bags. Some of the hybrids were obtained after emasculation and controlled pollination.

All F_T hybrids originated from crosses between two separate plants. The F_2 or F_3 hybrids originated from selfing single F_1 or $F_.$ plants. For analyzing a large number of individuals from the same cross, several hybrid families were planted separately.

To count the number of flowers in a cluster, seeds from each F_1 , F_2 , or F, plant were harvested separately. In determining the number of seeds in a seed ball, a test sample consisting of 100 seed balls was used.

Data for only a part of the hybrids studied are presented in this paper.

Phenotypic Variation in the Number of Flowers in a Flower Cluster of a Homozygous Multigerm (*MM*) and a Heterozygous Multigerm (*Mm*) Beet

Monogerm beets grown under different environments remain monogerm, but the size of fruit varies. The size of fruits in multigerm beets also varies under different conditions. In multigerm beets, the change consists in **a** variation in the size of individual flowers in a seed ball as well as in their number. This complicates the genetic study of this character.

¹ Collaborator, Agricultural Research Service, Field Crops Research Branch, U. S. Depart ment of Agriculture, in cooperation with the Beef Sugar Development Foundation. Numbers in parent theses refer to literature cited. Table 1.---Variation of Number of Flowers in the Flower Cluster in Fi Hybrids Between Monogerm and Different Multigerm Beets.

tion	Origin 1	Mono germ beets 110 120 130 140	Nu Degree of mul 0 150 160 170 1	umber of mu ltigerm con 80 190 200	ultigerm j dition, nu 210 220	plants an imber of 230 240	d degree of flowers per 250 260 27	multig 100 fl 70 280	erm condition ower clusters as follows: 290 300 310 320 330 340) 350 plants Mean	N ⁴ _n S.D.	°_ nulti- germ C.V	Analy mm cla . S.E.M.	rsis (exclu ss) on flov per 100 ciuster	ding vers s	
So Popula	SLC 101 mm tion SL 92	a 1					5 2	53	11111		1	49	228.6	35.00 15	.3 5.0)0
				Crosses of	of monog	erm beet	ts with mult	igerm	populations							
	SL 92 x SLO mm	2 101	11	89	11 16	53	1 2					57	192.6	19.23 10	0 2.5	5 24
	SLC 101 mn SL824	n >	12	15 2 4	3 3	111						197	.1 25.60	13.0 2.65	18	188.3
	Egyptian x S 101 mn	SLC	11	2 3	4 4	111						22.	29 11.8	5.26		
				Crosses o	f monoge	erm beets	s with differ	ent mu	ltigerm lines							
	04 x SLC 101 mn	n	4 2 2	3 13 4								31	166.1	22.00	13.2	3.95
	9524-2-92 x SLC 101 mm	n n	11	3	4 1							10	181.0	15.23	8.4	4.81
	9529-2 x SL 101 mm	.C		3	21 4	4 1						33	193.6	9.29	4.8	1.62
	MM Peragis SLC 101 mn	x n		1	55	14	13 3					23	213.5	23.47	10.9	4.89

There is a variation in the number of flowers in the flower cluster of individual multigerm plants. Even the flower cluster from the same inbred line or from the same clone contains a variable number of flowers.

Variation in the number of flowers in a cluster follows a peculiar pattern for each genotype. Races which have only a few flowers in a flower cluster usually produce only monogerm or double-germ fruits. Races with highly multiple fruits have 2, 3, 4 and 5 flowers per cluster. The number of monogerm fruits found among them was very small.

Similar variability in the number of flowers in a cluster can be observed *in* multigerm homozygous (MM) beets as well as in heterozygous beets (Mm). In many instances the fruits of heterozygous plants (Mm) differ from the multigerm homozygous parent only by a larger percentage of monogerm fruits.

First Generation Hybrids

The most common biotypes of the multigern beet SL 92 (a selection from the curlytop-resistant variety U. S. 22/3) are those with 200-250 flowers per 100 flower clusters. The average number of flowers per cluster *in* the individual biotypes from this variety varied from 190 to 350 per 100 flower clusters (Table 1). Certain beet varieties have races with either a greater or a smaller number of flowers in a cluster.

The F, hybrids from crosses between multi- and monogerm beets have a smaller number of flowers in a cluster than those of the multigerm parent (Table 1). Nevertheless, the number of flowers per cluster in the different Fj hybrid combinations fluctuated greatly (from 140 to 250 flowers per **100** flower clusters).

A study of Fj hybrids obtained by crossing recessive monogerm beets with different multigerm plants belonging to the same variety can be regarded as an analysis of the gametes in this multigerm population. In this manner it is possible to show clearly the differences in genetic make up of individual populations. For example, all F_1 hybrids originating from crosses of monogerm beets with certain multigerm populations of the sugar or fodder beets were characterized by a greater variability in the number of flowers in a cluster than other F_1 hybrids to different multigerm genotypes (for example, a clone or individuals). This proves that varieties of the sugar beta are made up of many genetically different races in regard to number of flowers per flower cluster.

First Back-Cross Generaton

In the b_T generation when a monogerm beet is backcrossed to an $F_t Mm$ hybrid, 50 percent monogerm mm and 50 percent heterozygous multigerm Mm plants segregated out (5).

Multigerm plants which originate from different backcrosses are found to be different in regard to number of flowers in a cluster (Table 2). The variability of the number of flowers in a cluster as it occurs in multigerm plants

The variability of the number of flowers in a cluster as it occurs in multigerm plants belonging to different backcross combinations also changes. Backcrosses which originate from crosses with populations have a wider range of variability than backcrosses produced from a cross between only two genotypes (Table 2).

Table 2.---Variation of Number of Flowers in the Flower Cluster of Male-Sterile Backcross Hybrids.

Cene		Monagerm	D	egree	of	nult	lgerr		nditi	оп, і	aum s fol	iber «	of 1 0	wer	i per	100	low	er	No.	Analy class) c	sis (exclu on flowers	ding mo s per 100	nogerm clusters
ation	Origla	beets	110	120	190	140	150	160	179	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	plants	Mean	Ş.D.	C.V.	S.E.M
					Qu)\$\$ 5 123	սքո	Latio	gern	ı bec	:B W	rich c	nult	igerr	про	puľa	tian						
F1	MM x SLC 101 mm			3		5	5	6	1\$	34	47	30	13	н	3	6	1		180	190.6	30.79	16. 15	2.29
bi	(MM x SLC 101 mm) x 101 mm	45	2	s	ı	5	5	3	2	6	7	5	1	2	1		1		44	170.9	\$3.25	19.46	5.02
				Czo	5 5 C5 (of di	ffete		1980	gern	ı hyl	brid	lines	i wit	h he	teroa	ygat	e Mi	n				
Ьι	Man (9323.SLC 101 mm x N 769 mm	") 9							2	5	2								9	180.0	7.07	3.93	2.36
bi i	Mm (9333. SLC 101 mm)								12	6									9	185.6	7.27	3.92	2.42
bi A	Im (9563. SLC 101 mm) x 9544-1-3 mm	8				1	1	1		3	-	3							9	175.6	16.67	9.49	5.56

Crosses of heterozygous Mm beets with multigerm homozygous MM

bi (MM x SLC 101 mm) *xMM* 5 7 8 7 2 2 31 230.0 14.14 6.15 2.54

Crosses Between the Monogerm Beet and Races Which Have a Small Number of Flowers in a Cluster

The inbred line SLC 100 has both mono- and double-germ seed balls. A plant with an average of 172 flowers per 100 flower clusters was taken for crosses representing this line.

Hybrids between the "few-germed" line SLC 100 and the monogerm beet SLC 101 are of interest because this type of segregation differs from that obtained from most of the hybrids between monogerm and multigerm beets.

Usually F_2 populations obtained from crosses between monogerm and multigerm beets are easily divided into two groups, one that includes only monogerm plants and another group in which all plants have multi-germ seed balls.

The F_2 hybrid from a cross between the "few-germed" line SLC 100 and the monogerm beet SLC 101 *mm* showed segregation for monogerm and double-germ fruits (Table 3). Some of these F., plants were intermediate with 110, 120, 130, etc., flowers per 100 flower clusters. For this reason it is difficult in these particular populations to classify individuals for mono- or multigerm fruits.

The absence of distinct boundaries between separate genetical classes in F₂ hybrid plants is typical in the segregation of hybrids for quantitative characters. Quantitative characters are usually conditioned by the action of many genes. The F₂ frequency curves are often more or less regular and symmetrical and have but one principal mode.

The F_2 frequency curve for the hybrid from SLC 100 x SLC 101 mm is bimodal. One mode is formed by the monogerm types. The other mode is located on the opposite end of the curve and corresponds to the multieerm parent. Between them are located the different intermediate forms (Table 3).

Three kinds of F₂ segregates were selfed and their progeny (F₂ lines) were studied in 1953. The progeny from F₂ monogerm plants produced, as always, monosrerm F₂ lines which did not segregate (Table 3). The pro-genv from F₂ plants which had the greatest number of flowers in a flower cluster 172 flowers per 100 clusters) also did not segregate but consisted of plants which had predominantly double-germ fruits. The progeny from F, plants which had an intermediate number of flowers in a cluster (134 flowers per 100 clusters) was found to be heterozygous and gave the same segregation as observed in the original F₂ population. In the offspring from this hybrid, F₂ or F₂ lines, there were no plants with three- or many-flowered clusters.

The monogerm SLC 101 *mm* was also crossed with another "few-flowered" race, G.W. 4821, received from Dr. H. E. Brewbaker of the Great Western Sugrar Company. The average number of flowers in this race is approximated 180-190 per 100 clusters. The F_2 hybrid from G.W. 4821 and SLC 101 *mm* had only mono- and double-germ fruits in varying proportions. Th F_2 a segregation occurred for plants with monogerm (about

Table 3.—Variation in Number of Flowers per Flower Cluster in F2 and F3 Hybrids Between Monogerm and "Few-Flowered" Multigerm Beet Rraces.

Gene	r.	Monogerm	Normber of multigerm plants and degree of multi- germ condition. Degree of multigerm condition, namber of flowers per 100 flower clusters as follows: mu													Analysis (excluding monogerm class) of flowers per 100 clusters				
ation	Origin	beets	110	120	138	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	plants	Mcan	\$.D.	C.V.	S.E.M.	
				c	20531	3, ŞI	LC 1	00 x	101	mm	,						Pen	ieni		
P	SLC 100 "few-germed" multigerm								1						P.					
Р	SLC 101. pure monogerm	ſ																		
Fı	SLC 100 x SLC 101 mm							1							P					
Fz	SLC 100 x 8LC 101 mm	8	3	4	1	2	\$	4	7	4	2				.90	153.3	25.87	16.5 4	4.63	
Fa	N 241: monogerm progeny	21																		
Fa	N 242: from Fe plant, 172 flowers																			
	per 100 clusters								2	4	17				23	156.5	6.47	3.47	1.35	
f2	N 243: from F2 plant, 134 Rowers per 100 clusters	12		ŀ	ι	2	2	3	9	5	5				28	167.0	18.98	11.37	3.96	
				 Cruss	es, G	.w.	4823	1 x 5	ıc	101 1	R4191									
P	G.W. 4821									1					Ð.					
Fz	G.W. 4821 x SLC 101 mm	17		I		8	3	9	2	6	10	8	2	8	47	173.0	25.27	14.61	3.67	
-				Cro	nses,	G.1	N', 48	821 3	. SL	C 10	0									
F2	G.W. 4821 x SLC 100			I	I		2	2	2	7	7	1	I	1	25	177.2	12.64	7.13	2.53	

² Frequency distributions not shown for parental lines or Fe hybrid.

PROCEEDINGS-EIGHTH GENERAL MEETING

9

25 percent) and double-germ fruits (Table 3) . Plants with an intermediate number of flowers in a cluster were also observed. The latter had from 120 to 170 flowers per 100 clusters. The type of plants which had about 110 flowers per 100 clusters was absent in this hybrid. Therefore, the mono-germ-recessive plants in this F_2 hybrid did not overlap with plants which had "few-germed" clusters. However, in this hybrid the distinction between monogerm and "few-germed" types is still very slight. Plants with hybrid.

Crosses of "Few-Germed" Races with Each Other

The "few-germed" inbred line SLC 100 was crossed with the "few-germed" race G.W. 4821. The F_1 hybrid had flower clusters consisting of a small number of flowers per flower cluster, about 170-180 per 100 clusters. In F_2 this hybrid segregated for "few-germed" forms (Table 3), but mono-germ plants and plants with three-flowered clusters were absent.

Among the "few-germed" F_2 plants, the individuals with the smallest number of flowers per cluster produced about 72 percent monogerm fruits.

The same type of races, and even races with few flowers per cluster, were obtained by continuous selection for a number of generations within limits of the original inbred line SLC 100. Such "pseudo-monogerm" lines showed a very great variability with regard to number of flowers in a cluster. This phenomenon was observed not only on plants grown under different conditions (for example, in greenhousees during the winter and in the field during the summer), but also on different branches of the same plant, if these branches had been formed at different seasons of the year. With the true monogerm *mm* beet such variability was never observed.

Crosses of the Monogerm Beet with the More Common Type of

Multigerm Beet

Seed collected from a single plant in ordinary multigerm varieties has 2, 3, or 4 germs per seed ball. In these races the average number of flowers in a flower cluster varies from 200 to 250 per 100 clusters (Table 1).

When this type of beet is crossed with SLC 101 mm, F_2 populations show a welldefined monohybrid type of segregation for the monogerm-recessive and the multigermdominant forms. These two classes are quite distinct because individual flowers numbering 110-150 per 100 clusters are absent. Table 4 gives frequency curves for six of these F_2 hybrids from the crosses of SLC 101 mm with the more common types of multigerm beets which occur in sugar, fodder or table beet varieties. The bimodal F_2 curves observed in hybrids between SLC 101 mm and the "few-germed" beet is also characteristic of F_2 hybrids between mul tigerm and monogerm beets.

The average number of flowers per cluster in multigerm segregates which occur among F_2 hybrids derived from crosses between monogerm and ordinary multigerm beets was greater than in F_2 segregates produced from a cross between monogerm and "few-germed" beets. However, the amplitude of variation for the number of flowers per flower cluster for the multigerm segregates remains almost the same for both types of F_2 hybrids. This is.

Table 4.—Variation of Number of Flowers in the Flower Cluster in F2 Hybrids Between Monogerm and Multigerm Beets.

				Nu	nber	of 1	nalt	igera	ոթե	ants	and	deg	rte q	ťm	ulti	geri	ne 0	ondi	Lío#1							
		Mono-		Deg	Tec o	í m	oltig	jer 111	com	litio	ii, ii	umb	er of	flot	wers	s pe	r l(10 B	wer	_	No.	an flowers per 100 clusters				
ation	r- Origin	beeu	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	5 25 190	200	9969: 1 210	9: 210 220		0 230 24		250	260	270 -	plants	Мсал	\$,D,	C.V.	S.E.M.	
					G	10556	es be	twee	. .	ono·	and	"fe	w-gei	14960	f" r	nul	lige	ղու	xcts.							
Fç	SLC 100 x SLC 101 mg	n 8	3	4	ī	z	3	4	7	4	2										30	158.8	25.37	16.55	4.63	
F2	G.W. 4821 x SLC 101 mm	11		1		8	3	9	2	6	10	3	2	5							47	173.0	25-27	14.61	3.69	
							Cn	08953	beta	veen	mu	to- a	nd 1	nult	igeı	ו נית	beet	5								
Fe	Janash 9333 x SLC 101 mm	19						1		5	16	19	5	2							48	195.6	10.90	5.57	1.57	
Fa	Oberndorf 9567 x SLC 101 mm	10						t	ı		2	13	6	8	1	3					29	204. I	15.47	7.58	2.87	
Fa	SLC 101 mm x SL 824	13						ï	5	B	20	8	2	1							1 5	188.7	11.79	6.25	1.76	
Fa	SL 92 x SLC 101 mm	12						2	1	3	4	6	1	2							19	191.6	17.08	8.91	8.92	
F۶	Mammoth 9565 x SLC 101 mm	6					ı		4	2	4	2	2								15	1 84 .7	16.85	9.12	4.35	
Fa	Exyptian x SLC 101 mm	19						1	ı	4	12	4	б	3	5	5	ı	ı			36	200.8	19 .91	9.92	\$.32	
						C	(osse	s bec	weet	1 M	000-	and	high	ıly n	nult	ige	hat I	beet	6							
F:	ZZ R. and G. x SLC 101 mm	9										3	7	3	5	2	2	9	5	ī	24	227.9	22.2 6	9.77	4.54	

· - · -----

due to the fact that hybrids from crosses with "few-germed" plants do not segregate for types with as many flowers per cluster and hybrids from crosses with highly multigerm beets do not segregate for "few-germed" types.

Some races of the sugar beet, and especially of the fodder beet, have seedballs with a very large number of germs (300 flowers per 100 clusters). In such races fruits occur which often contain more than five or six flowers per cluster.

Segregation in F_2 hybrids obtained from crosses between SLC 101 mm and races of similar highly multigerm types follows the pattern of the monohybrid scheme (Table 4). Differences in number of flowers per cluster between monogerm and multigerm segregates among F_0 hybrids in this case are even greater because, in spite of the striking differences between the two parental forms, the F_2 hybrids have no intermediate forms. The bimodal character of the frequency curves becomes even more apparent in this case, and the amount of variation for the number of flowers per cluster of multigerm segregates remains the same.

Hybrids

Discussion F₁

The multigerm character is not completely dominant over the mono-germ character. For this reason F_i hybrids, on an average, have fewer flowers per flower cluster than that of the multigerm parent. When a mono-germ beet is crossed with races of multigerm beets which have different numbers of flowers in a cluster, the different F_n hybrids will have a different number of flowers in their flower clusters. The difference in the number of flowers per cluster in F_i hybrids from crosses between mono- and multigerm beets depends on the number of flowers per cluster in the multigerm parent.

Differences in number of flowers per flower cluster observed among different F_i hybrids are shown also in the corresponding F_2 hybrids. These differences can be observed only *in* the multigerm segregates in the F_i, generation.

F₂ Hybrids

All F_2 hybrids from crosses between a monogerm beet and "few-germed" or multigerm types of sugar, fodder or table beets had the following general characteristics:

1. All F., hybrids segregated according to the monohybrid scheme. The number of flowers in the flower cluster of the multigerm parent did not affect the percentage of the recessive monogerm plants recovered.

2. Monogerm lines obtained from the most diverse F_2 hybrids and propagated for several generations never segregated for multigerm types. They retained their monogerm character also when they were crossed with each other. Self-pollination of multigerm F_2 plants produced F_3 lines, some of which were constant in their multigerm plants just as in the F_2 generation.

Monogerm plants were never recovered from crosses of "few-germed" plants to multigerm beets.

3. The frequency curves were bimodal. One mode is made up of monogerm plants and the other by multigerm plants. These two modes are found to be close to each other in F_2 hybrids from crosses between monogerm and "few-germed" beets. In F_2 hybrids between multigerm and monogerm beets, two modes are well separated from each other. Overlapping in the number of flowers in a flower cluster between mono- and multigerm beets is completely absent in such hybrids.

 F_2 hybrids between monogerm and "few-germed" beets do not segregate for highly multigerm types. F_2 hybrids between monogerm and ordinary multigerm beets do not segregate for "few-germed" beets.

When "few-germed" races of beets were crossed with each other neither monogerm nor highly multigerm plants were obtained.

The coefficient of variation and the amplitude of variation for number of flowers per flower cluster of multigerm segregates does not change in F_2 hybrids, depending on an increase in number of flowers per flower cluster of the multigerm parent. But the average number of flowers per cluster of the multigerm segregates which appear in different F_2 hybrid combinations were quite different and depended on the number of flowers per cluster in the multigerm parent.

Although the number of flowers in a cluster constitutes a typical quantitative character, the inheritance does not correspond to the theory of multiple factors (polymeric genes). Multiple factors inheritance is based on several genes which belong to different alleles. Consequently, the different hybrids for the same character segregate sometimes as monohybrids, sometimes as dihybrids or as polyhybrids.

When the differences for any quantitative character increase, the number of genes determining these differences increase at the same time. The segregation in F_2 is more complicated and the diversity of segregating plants increases. The value of the coefficient of variation also increases. Such appearances were not observed in the hybrids studied.

The coefficient of variation and number of flowers per cluster of multigerm segregates does not change in F₂ hybrids depending on increase in number of flowers per cluster of the multigerm parent. But the average number of flowers per cluster of the multigerm segregates which appear in different F₂ hybrid combinations were quite different and depended on the number of flowers per cluster in the multigerm parent.

The types of segregation in the hybrids studied could not be explained on the basis of the multiple factor hypothesis. To make this obvious the data obtained on segregation for the number of flowers per flower cluster was compared with the values which could be expected if the segregation of hybrids was controlled by several multiple factors.

12

Table 5.—Segregation for Multigerm and Monogerm Plants in F2 Hybrids.

		Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition													
	Monogern	Degr	ret of on	ultigerm	conditi	aa, num	her of f	iowers p	er 100 fla	wer clus	iters as Io	ollows:	moltigerm		
						110	165	200	213	230	215	200	p.anta		
Expected		0.02	0.23	1.05	2.81	4.91	5.87	4.91	2.81	1.05	0.23	0 .02	24		
Expected		l	10	45	120	210	252	210	120	45	10	1	1024		
Observed	9							6	7	3	4	4	24		
Expected		0.7	6.0	21.0	42.0	52.5	42.0	21.0	6.0	0.7			192		
Expected		1	8	28	56	70	56	28	8	1			256		
Observed	79			T	6	23	69	63	22 *	7	I		192		
Expected		0.7	4.4	11.0	14.7	11.0	4.40	D.7					47		
Expected		1	6	15	20	15	6	1					64		
Observed	17		I.	9	Ш	5	1 \$	4	4				47		
Expected		1.87	7.48	11.22	7.48	1.87							30		
Expected		1	4	6	4	I							16		
Observed	8	5	3	3	6	9	4						34		
	Expected Expected Observed Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Observed	Monogera bets Expected Expected Observed Synected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Expected Synected Synected Expected Expected Expected Synected Expecte	Monogera Dega Dega beets 110 Expected 0.02 Expected 1 Observed 9 Expected 0.7 Expected 1 Observed 79 Expected 1 Observed 17 Expected 1.87 Expected 1 Observed 1	Monogera Degree of multiplets heets Degree of multiplets Expected 0.02 0.23 Expected 1 10 Observed 9 9 Expected 0.7 6.0 Expected 1 8 Observed 79 9 Expected 1.7 4.4 Expected 1.87 7.48 Observed 17 1 Expected 1.87 7.48 Observed 1 4 Observed 1 4	Number of Degree of multigerm 10 Number of 125 Number of 105 105 105 <td>Number of multiger beets Degree of multiger 110 term condition 125 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.40 2.81 Expected 1 10 45 120 Observed 9 9 1 6 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 Expected 1 8 28 56 Observed 79 1 6 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 Expected 1 6 15 20 Observed 17 1 9 JJ Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 Expected 1 4 6 4 Observed 1 4 6 4</td> <td>Number of multigerm plan Managera Degree of multigerm codicion, num 110 125 140 155 170 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 Observed 9 9 1 6 23 56 70 Observed 79 1 6 23 15 20 15 Expected 0.7 4.4 1.0 14.7 11.0 14.7 11.0 Expected 0.7 4.4 1.0 14.7 11.0 14.7 11.0 Expected 1 6 15 20 15 0 15 Observed 17 1 9 11 5 5 5 1.87 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 1.87 Observed 1 4 6 4 1 0</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and Monogeras Degree of multigerm condition, number of I 110 125 140 155 170 185 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 Observed 9 9 1 6 23 69 52.5 42.0 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 Sapected 1 8 28 56 70 56 Observed 79 1 6 23 69 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0 4.40 Expected 1 6 15 20 15 6 Observed 17 1 9 11 5 13 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 1.87 Expected</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers generation, number of Bowers generating generating generation, number of Bowers generation, number of</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and degree of multiger Monogerration Degree of multiger condition, number of Bowers per 100 ft 125 140 155 170 185 200 215 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 Observed 9 6 7 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 Observed 79 1 6 23 69 63 22* Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0 4.40 0.7 Expected 17 1 9 11 5 13 4 4 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower class Menogerma beets Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower class Expected 0.02 0.25 1.40 155 170 185 200 215 230 Expected 0.02 0.25 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 Observed 9 6 7 3 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 3 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 22' 7 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition Managerma beets Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower clusters as Ic Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 245 Expected 0 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 10 Observed 9 6 7 3 4 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 22² 7 I Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0</td> <td>Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition Monogerm Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 Bower clasters as follows: berts 110 125 140 155 170 185 200 215 230 245 260 Expected 0.02 0.25 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 0.02 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 10 1 Observed 9 - - 6 7 3 4 4 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1.8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 222 7 I Expected 1.6 15</td>	Number of multiger beets Degree of multiger 110 term condition 125 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.40 2.81 Expected 1 10 45 120 Observed 9 9 1 6 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 Expected 1 8 28 56 Observed 79 1 6 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 Expected 1 6 15 20 Observed 17 1 9 JJ Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 Expected 1 4 6 4 Observed 1 4 6 4	Number of multigerm plan Managera Degree of multigerm codicion, num 110 125 140 155 170 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 Observed 9 9 1 6 23 56 70 Observed 79 1 6 23 15 20 15 Expected 0.7 4.4 1.0 14.7 11.0 14.7 11.0 Expected 0.7 4.4 1.0 14.7 11.0 14.7 11.0 Expected 1 6 15 20 15 0 15 Observed 17 1 9 11 5 5 5 1.87 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 1.87 Observed 1 4 6 4 1 0	Number of multigerm plants and Monogeras Degree of multigerm condition, number of I 110 125 140 155 170 185 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 Observed 9 9 1 6 23 69 52.5 42.0 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 Sapected 1 8 28 56 70 56 Observed 79 1 6 23 69 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0 4.40 Expected 1 6 15 20 15 6 Observed 17 1 9 11 5 13 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48 1.87 Expected	Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers generation, number of Bowers generating generating generation, number of Bowers generation, number of	Number of multigerm plants and degree of multiger Monogerration Degree of multiger condition, number of Bowers per 100 ft 125 140 155 170 185 200 215 Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 Observed 9 6 7 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 Observed 79 1 6 23 69 63 22* Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0 4.40 0.7 Expected 17 1 9 11 5 13 4 4 Expected 1.87 7.48 11.22 7.48	Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower class Menogerma beets Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower class Expected 0.02 0.25 1.40 155 170 185 200 215 230 Expected 0.02 0.25 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 Observed 9 6 7 3 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 3 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 22' 7 Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14	Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition Managerma beets Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 flower clusters as Ic Expected 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 245 Expected 0 0.02 0.23 1.05 2.01 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 10 Observed 9 6 7 3 4 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 22 ² 7 I Expected 0.7 4.4 11.0 14.7 11.0	Number of multigerm plants and degree of multigerm condition Monogerm Degree of multigerm condition, number of Bowers per 100 Bower clasters as follows: berts 110 125 140 155 170 185 200 215 230 245 260 Expected 0.02 0.25 1.05 2.81 4.91 5.87 4.91 2.81 1.05 0.23 0.02 Expected 1 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 10 1 Observed 9 - - 6 7 3 4 4 Expected 0.7 6.0 21.0 42.0 52.5 42.0 21.0 6.0 0.7 Expected 1.8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 Observed 79 I 6 23 69 63 222 7 I Expected 1.6 15		

Insofar as monogerm plants segregated as a simple recessive (*mm*) in F_2 of all hybrids independent of the number of flowers per flower cluster of multigerm segregates, it could be supposed: 1. that all multigerm plants carried gene *M*, and 2. that multiple factors ($C_1C_2C_3C_4$ and C_5) controlled the number of flowers in the flower clusters of the multigerm plants.

In such case the genotypes of the parental plants would be as follows:

SLC 101 mm	mm c^{\wedge} c_2c_2 c_3c_3 c_4c_4 c_5c_5 .
SLC 100	MM $C_1C_1 C_2C_2 c_3c_3 c_4c_4 c_5c_5$
G.W. 4821	MM $C_1C_1 C_2C_2 C_8C_a c_4c_4 c.c_5$
Common types of	
multigerm beets	MM C_1C_1 C_2C_2 $C_3C_3C_4C_4$ c_3c_5
R. and G. ZZ Variety	$MM \ C.G, \ G_2C_2 \ \ C_3C_3 \ C_4C_4 \ C_5C_5$

In Table 5 the data obtained for segregation are compared with the values expected according to the hypothesis of multigerm factors for the majority of hybrids. The observed numbers do not agree with the expected ones. Statistical evaluation of these data showed that the hypothesis of multiple factors could not explain the observed type of segregation in hybrids studied. The disagreement between observed and expected values could not be decreased also by the assumption that the segregation was controlled by higher or lower number (4 or 6-7) of multiple factors.

Therefore, it is more probable that genetical differences in any of these hybrids were caused by different genes from the same allele, than by different number of multiple factors. The hybrids which have been analyzed include the members of the multiple allele listed in Table 6.

Table 6.—Designation of Genes in the Multiple Allele M-m.

Designation of member of multiple allele	Name and origin of varieties or lines in which a given gene has been found
Symbol	
mm	Inbred line SLC 101 mm which bears monojjerm fruits.
M^1M^1	Inbred line SLC 100. This line has fruits with few germs. Isolated from the same population, "Hybrid 18 Michigan," in which the SLC 101 mm was found.
M ^B ' M ^{Br}	Inbred line G.W. 4821, which has double-germ fruits.
MM	A gene or a number of related genes occurring most frequently among various multigerm varieties of the sugar, fodder, or table beet. Has an "average" number of flowers (close to three) in flower cluster.
M^zM^z	A gene which determines the presence of a large numbr of germs in a seed ball. Isolated from variety Kleinwanzleben ZZ. This gene probably occurs in many varieties of beets.

The beet also includes a number of genes which modify the effect of the above mentioned basic gene. The gene modifiers are not allelic to the *m*-*M* alleles. They are not discussed in this article, nor is the problem of compounds for the *M*-*m* allele.

14

Literature Cited

- OWEN, F. V. 1942. Inheritance in cross and self-sterility and self-fertility in *Beta vulgaris*. Jour, of Agric. Res. 64:679-798.
- (2) SAVITSKY, HELEN
 1950. Embryology of mono- and multigerm fruits in the genus *Beta L.* Proc. Amer. Soc. Sugar Beet Tech. 160-164.
- (3) SAVITSKY, HELEN 1952. Selective fertilization studies and recovery of self-sterile from self-fertile races of monogerm sugar beets. Proc. Amer. Soc. Sugar Beet Tech. 339-343."

- (4) SAVITSKY, V. F. 1950. Monogerm sugar beets in the United States. Proc. Amer. Soc. of Sugar Beet Tech. 156-159.
- (5) SAVITSKY, V. F. 1952. A genetic study of monogerm and multigerm characters in beets. Proc. Amer. Soc. Sugar Beet Tech. 331-338.