Use of Clamps and the Ropa Maus at Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative

Todd Geselius
Vice President of Agriculture
Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative
2011 ASSBT Meeting
Albuquerque, NM

Why Clamps vs. Traditional Methods

- New technology
 - Ropa Maus machine
- Opportunity to screen more soil out of the beets in the field
 - Soil stays in the field where it belongs
 - Don't haul soil to piling sites and/or factory less expense
 - Don't have to dispose of soil from piling sites or factory less expense
- Opportunity to increase lifting capacity
 - Lifter doesn't have to wait for trucks
 - Potential to complete harvest in fewer days
 - Lift beets in the best conditions for storage = better storage



Why Clamps vs. Traditional Methods

- Opportunity to alleviate receiving station limitations
 - Space limitations
 - Truck pressure
- Opportunity to put beets directly into the factory
 - Processable vs. storable beets



Ropa Maus at SMBSC in 2010

- Considered 2010 an experimental year
- Used Ropa Maus only during full campaign
- We managed when the beets were clamped
 - Tried to stay about 3 days ahead of the Ropa Maus
- Tried a "Small Circle" concept
 - Designed to help an overloaded station
- Tried a "Long Haul" concept
 - Designed to help a group of long haul growers
- Created a small "Ropa" pile (about 8,000 tons)

Preliminary Conclusions

- Participating growers unanimously said they would participate again
- Managing the timing of the clamp building worked well
- The "Small Circle" concept worked better than the "Long Haul" concept
- "Ropa" pile stored as well as any other pile based on temperature probes and gross observation



Thanks!

