DEXTER, ALAN G. ALLAN W. CATTANACH and JOHN L. LUECKE, Department of Crop and Weed Sciences, North Datota State University, Pargo, ND 58105. -<u>Timing of control</u> of living cover error.

ABSTRACT

Soil erraion and the loss of sugarbeet stands due to high winds sometimes occur in the spring in eastern North Dakota and Minnesota. Living cover crops can be utilized to reduce or prevent

FORNSTROM, K. JAMES^{*}, STEPHEN D. MILLER, and JAMES M. KRALL. Univ. of Wyo., Civil Engr. Dept., Univ. Station Box 3295, Laramie, WY 82071. - <u>Cover crop management for wind erosion</u> protection of sugarbeets.

This paper describes management alternatives for utilizing cover crops as a living mulch for wind erosion protection during sugarbeet establishment. Fall seeded winter wheat and rye or spring seeded barley, oats and winter wheat were compared with no cover crop. Sugarbeet herbicides were band applied and rotary incorporated either in the fall or at sugarbeet planting with the fall seeded cover crops and at sugarbeet planting with the spring seeded cover crops. Cover crops were sprayed and then cultivated for removal. Erosion protection of sugarbeets with limited competition can be achieved if cover crop seeding, sugarbeet planting and cover crop removal are timed properly. The main competitive effect of the cover crop on sugarbeets is the reduction of population due to surface water depletion. Timing removal based on heat unit accumulation or cover crop growth provided more reliable removal date than the calender. For example, when barley is used as a cover crop, the water use will be less than one inch if it is sprayed before 400 heat units are accumulated, or before 500 lb of dry matter is produced or before a height of 6 inches. Following these guidelines, sugarbeet population was reduced 10-20 percent but sugarbeet yields were equal or greater than with no cover crop.

munitize the competition with sugarised and a second herbicide treatment may be needed for total control of the winter rive.

All previously mentioned herbicide's postemergence grass control herbicider at all tested rates gave nearly total control of spring-seeded barley. Sethoxydim at 0.2 lb/A plus Dash at 1 qt/A was breadenst applied to sugarbeet in spring-seeded barley at the 2-. 3-, 4-, or 5-leaf stage of barley. Sugarbeet tended to yield loss when barley control was delayed until the 4- or 5-leaf stage at slage as compared to the 2- or 3-leaf stage. In a second management scheme, schoxydim was band-applied at the 3-leaf stage of barley and the barley.

barley. Leaving the barley between the row-crop cultivator at the 2-, 3-, 4-, or 5-leaf stage of barley. Leaving the barley between the rows until the 5-leaf stage tended to improve sugarback yield compared to earlier cultivation. These results suggest that barley in the row needs to be controlled by the 3-leaf stage but barley between the row may provide beneficial protection of left until the 5-leaf stage.

Winter tye grows very rapidly. For example, winter tye was 2 to 4 indices tall at sugarbeet planting on May 4, 8 to 12 inches on May 21, 10 to 14 inches on May 28, 12 to 16 inches on June 1, and 14 to 18 inches on June 5. Glyphosate was band-applied at planting and winter tye