Design and Evaluation of Cover Crop Systems for Sugarbeet Production Under Furrow Irrigation.

> C. Dean Yonts, Irrigation Engineer John A. Smith, Machinery Systems Engineer Robert G. Wilson, Weed Scientist

University of Nebraska Panhandle Research and Extension Center Scottsbluff, Nebraska 69361

rifee inputs and related soil eración in flarow anguled ferou

at the CL

Introduction

Wind erosion during sugarbeet establishment is of major concern in many sugarbeet producing areas. Cover crops are being used successfully to protect sugarbeets early in the season where center pivots are used for irrigation. Normally small grain is planted as a cover crop in the fall of the year. The cover crop is planted either in rows or broadcast. When planted in rows, the cover crop is spaced such that the following spring sugarbeets can be planted between the rows of spring grain. Prior to sugarbeet emergence, the cover crop is killed with a herbicide. When the cover crop is broadcast, herbicides are used to kill a strip of the cover crop prior to planting. The strips of cover crop between the planted rows of sugarbeets are killed when the cover crop plants are about 4 in. tall and before sugarbeet emergence. The cover crop is left standing in both systems to provide continued protection from the wind until such time that cultivation is necessary. When cultivation occurs, the sugarbeets are of adequate size to provide their own protection from wind erosion.

These cover crop systems developed for sugarbeets over the past ten years in center pivot irrigated areas have not been adapted for furrow irrigation systems because of several concerns.

 The cover crop will deplete already limited soil moisture and retard or limit sugarbeet emergence.

4) Spring cover pron thilled on beds

The inability to furrow irrigate with the presence of the cover crop.

3) Retarded growth and subsequent yield due to competition of the cover crop.

Center pivot producers have developed these cover crop systems to provide a method to improve overall production by eliminating the potential for loss of top soil and crop injury due to wind erosion and reduce the environmental impact of wind erosion. Furrow irrigation producers are in need of this same protection from wind erosion.

In the furrow irrigated sugarbeet producing areas, a cover crop system is complicated by the need to construct furrows for irrigation. The majority of the sugarbeet fields are plowed and packed before seeding and have no crop residue to reduce wind and soil movement. The lack of crop residue and high wind potential makes wind erosion a serious threat to these sugarbeet growers. A properly designed cover crop system for furrow irrigated sugarbeets will have the same wind erosion and cost reduction benefits as existing cover crop systems for center pivot irrigated sugarbeets. Once developed, this system may also have application for the establishment of dry beans.

## Objectives

 Design spring and fall planted sugarbeet cover crop systems with features to minimize inputs and reduce soil erosion in furrow irrigated fields.
Evaluate the performance of these cover crop systems for sugarbeet

production in field scale settings by measuring the following: a) Plant stand

- b) Furrow irrigation performance
- c) Sugarbeet yield

# Methods a consistent of the second se

A three year field study was initiated in the fall of 1995 at the University of Nebraska, Panhandle Research and Extension Center. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Five cover crop treatments were used and include:

the reveword united sugarbury on the payweld buryeen the rave of torong train. Prior

- 1) Conventional plow treatment
- of the period of 2) Fall cover crop drilled on beds the nertile vise and a polarithe bedt
  - 3) Fall cover crop broadcast on beds
  - 4) Spring cover crop drilled on beds.
- n many ne 5) Spring cover crop broadcast on beds

The prior year crop was dry beans. For the first year of study a bedder was built using rolling cultivator parts to shape the beds. No tillage occured between harvest the previous year and construction of beds. Beds were constructed in the fall and spring for the different treatments. The conventional treatment was plowed in the fall following harvest. The remaining tillage for the conventional treatment was completed in the spring. For the following two years a Schlagel tillage system, a commercial unit manufactured in Wyoming, was used for primary tillage of all treatments. The conventional treatment was plowed following the Schlagel operation. A Schlagel bedder was used following the Schlagel tillage unit to prepare the fall and spring beds.

The study was set up in six, 22 inch row plots for all treatments. The conventional treatment was 12 rows wide to accomodate the plowing operation. Field length varied from 600-800 feet. John Deere 71 planters were used to seed the cover crop in the spring and fall drilled treatments. The planter units were spaced 11 inches apart and centered over each bed. This provided a row of cover 5.5 inches from each

108

side of where sugarbeets were to be planted, philbeet when this sone planted, philbeet where the so

For the broadcast treatment the cover crop was seeded using a hand held broadcast spreader prior to construction of the beds. The seed was planted at a rate of 50 lb/ac for both the drilled and broadcast treatments. The fall beds were seeded with winter rye as a cover crop. The spring beds were constructed in early March using spring barley for the cover crop.

The sugarbeet variety Halt was used in 1996 and 1997 and Beta 4546 in 1998. Field plots were planted to stand on May 3, 1996, May 1, 1997 and April 21, 1998. A John Deere Maxi-Merge II was used in 1996 and 1997. The German made Kliene planter was used in 1998. Field strips were furrow irrigated following planting to aid germination and emergence.

Control of the cover crops varied based on growth and climatic conditions. In 1996, the herbicide Select (8oz/Ac) was applied on May 10 followed by split applications of Betamix. Roundup was used in 1997 prior to sugarbeet emergence followed by split applications of Betamix. In 1998, Select (8oz/Ac) was again used on May 20 followed by split applications of Betamix. All plots were cultivated twice and hand weeded once.

## Results

Stand counts were taken in mid-June of each year and are given in Table 1. Significant difference was found among the treatments for final plant population. The plow treatment had the greatest population at 41,600 plants/A, Plant population in all treatments were above 32,900 plants/A and averaged 37,000 plants/A.

Yield results from the three-year cover crop study are given in Table 1. There were no significant differences in tare, sugar content, root yield or sugar yield among the plow with conventional seedbed preparation, fall cover crop drilled on beds, spring cover crop broadcast on beds treatments.

The fall broadcast cover crop treatment was not done during the first year of this three-year study and is therefore not part of the overall analysis. An analysis to compare 1997 and 1998 indicated no significant difference between the yield parameters for the different treatments when the fall broadcast treatment was included.

# Conclusions

Cover crops, as a method to control sugarbeet loss due to spring wind erosion, is a viable alternative for furrow irrigation systems. Control of the cover crop must be timely to eliminate the cover crop easily and to retain soil moisture for use by the sugarbeet seedlings. In many cases irrigation may be necessary to allow for good

germination, emergence and early seedling growth. Indication and a search to see

For the broadcast tradition, the currendop was readed using a new herd broadcast spreader prior to construction of the bags. The seed was planted at a rate of 60 prior both the dollad and broadcast treatments. The tail bads were peopled with server type as a cover dop. The spring bods were constructed in early Mondh using spring balley to the cover dop.

The sugardeet venety Hall was used in 1996 uno 1997 and Brita 4546 m 1998 Field plots were planned to stand on May 2, 1906 May 1, 1997 and April 21, 1998 A tohn Deard Miss-Heige II was used in 1907 and 1997. The Gamain mana Kliene clanter was used in 1997. Field strips were furrow intgated following planting to aut delimination and unargence.

Control of the pover crups varied based on growth and olimatic conditions. In 1996, the hertwork Select (Boz/Ac) was applied on May 10 followed by 3plit applicationaut Batarica. Round to was used in 1997 prior to sugarball intergence followed by split applications of Bistariox. In 1998, Salect (Boz/Ac) was again used o May 20 followed by split inplications of Bistariox. All plats were cultivated twice and hand wedded once

#### SHUSSIN

Stand counts were taken in mid-tune of reactiveer and an groot in Table 1 Significant ofference wer found among the treatments for final plant oppulation. The clow treatment had the greatest population of 41 900 plants/A. Plant pupulation in ct reamments were above 32 900 plants/A and availated to 37,000 plants/A.

Yield results from the three-year cover crop study are given in fable 1. Thors were no significant differences in fare, sugar confact, mot yield on augar yield among the plow with conventional seedbed preparation, fail cover crop drifted on beds, spring cover arep drifted on beds, and upong cover and proadcast on brids treatments.

The fall broadcast cover grop treatment was not done during the first year of the three-year study and is therefore not part of the over stanaysts. An analysis to compare 1997 and 1998 indicated no significant difference between the yield compreters for the difference treatments when the fall providest treatment was included.

#### Candiumons

Cover croper, as a method to control sugarized to spring wind erraion is a viable atternative for furrow ingetion systems. Control of the cover crop roust be smally to diminate the cover crop easity and to retain soil molisture for use by the sugarized seedings. In many cases initiation may be mosessary to allow for good.

110

Treatment	Plant Population (plants/acre)	Tare (%)	Sugar (%)	Root Yield (tons/acre)	Sugar Yield (lbs/acre)
Plow - Conventional Seedbed Preparation	41600	9.5	15.9	19.4	6210
Spring Cover Crop Planted on Beds	37300	8.5	15.5	20.4	6360
Spring Cover Crop Broadcast on Beds	37700	8.6	15.4	19.4	6030
Fall Cover Crop Planted on Beds	35400	8.7	15.7	22.0	6940
Fall Cover Crop Broadcast on Beds*	32900	8.9	15.7	20.1	6380

Table 1. Results of Cover Crop Study combined over years 1996, 1997 & 1998.

\*In 1996, the fall cover crop broadcast on beds treatment was not conducted. The results for that treatment are for 1997 & 1998 only.

.