Applying different rates of lime to alkaline soils and the effects on corn, navy beans and sugar beets

HUBBELL, LEE A.², and RICHARD R. LIST^{2*}, and CHRISTENSON, DONALD R.¹, ¹Crop and Soil Science Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1325, and ²Monitor Sugar Company, 2600 S. Euclid, Bay City, MI 48706

Introduction

In the early 1990's, Monitor Sugar Company acknowledged a potential problem with the mountain of waste lime that had accumulated over the past 90 years. Many alternatives for disposal were studied, one being that farmers would take back one ton of lime for every acre of sugar beets grown. Monitor Sugar realized there could be concern with this plan because most soils surrounding Monitor's plant already had high pH values. Before this plan could be implemented, Monitor Sugar Company, along with Don R. Christenson and Michigan State University, needed to study the effects, to crop yields, by applying spent lime to alkaline soils. Research has shown that adding lime to acidic soils will help most cash crops. However, would there be an adverse effect to some cash crops by adding lime where pH in soils range from 7.5 to 8.0?

Monitor Sugar's site was established in the fall of 1993 on a Londo loam soil near Bay City, Michigan. This site was divided into three areas. Lime treatments were established on each area and placed in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plots [experimental units] were 20' x 50' which accommodated 8-30" rows. Lime was applied in each area at five different rates: 1 ton every year, 1 ton every 3 years, 3 tons every 3 years, 5 tons every 3 years and a check. Initially lime was applied to all sections in the spring of 1994 and again in the fall of 1996. The application of 1 ton every year was also applied in the spring of 1995, fall of 1995, spring of 1998 and the fall of 1998. A moldboard plow, or Triple K, was used to incorporate the lime into the soil. Corn, navy beans and sugar beets were rotated each year to a new area. Normal farming practices were used during each growing season. Each fall, the center 30 ft. of the middle 4 rows were harvested for yields.

Lime applied at Monitor's site had the following physical and chemical properties.

| Calcium | | 30% | 30% | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Magnesium | | .5 – 1% 30% | | | | | | | |
| Moisture | | | | | | | | | |
| Neutralizin | g Value | 80-9 | 0% | | | | | | |
| CaCO ₃ Equ | iv. lb./cu. Yard | 1300 - 1500 | | | | | | | |
| Calcium Ca | rbonate | 77% | | | | | | | |
| Magnesium | Carbonate | 1 - 3 | 1 - 3% | | | | | | |
| % Passing: | Mesh Screen | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Mesh | 20 Mesh | 60 Mesh | 100 Mesh | | | | | | |
| 000/ | 06 60/ | 02 40/ | 00 00/ | | | | | | |

The field at Monitor's site had Londo type soils with pH levels around 8.0. All crops were fertilized at the following rates for N, P & K. No micronutrients were applied, and weeds were controlled with approved herbicides, cultivation and hand removal.

1005

| | - | CORN | SUGAR BEETS | NAVY BEANS | | |
|----------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| N | Į. | 59# Broadcast (bc) | 59# Broadcast (bc) | 59# Broadcast (bc) | | |
| | | + 120# Sidedress (so | 1) $+100\#$ Sidedress (sd) | | | |
| Р | | 59# bc | 59# bc | 59# bc | | |
| K | | 178# bc | 178# bc | 178# bc | | |
| | | | 1996 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| N | T | 20# bc. + 160# sd | 20# bc. + 130# sd | 20# bc | | |
| Р | | 55# bc | 55# bc | 55# bc | | |
| K | C | 235# bc. | 235# bc | 235# bc | | |
| | | 75 272.1 | 4485 | | | |
| | | | <u>1997</u> | GIA | | |
| N | Ţ | 21# bc. + 160# sd. | 21# bc + 130# sd | 21# bc 660 0c) | | |
| Р | | 59# bc | 59# bc. | 59# bc | | |
| K | | 250# bc | 250# bc | 250# bc. | | |
| | | | 1998 | | | |
| N | J | 20# bc. + 160# sd | 20# bc. + 130# sd | 20# bc | | |
| р | | 55# bc | 55# bc | 55# bc | | |
| K | 2 | 230# bc | 230#bc | 230# bc | | |
| | | J VEARS | AVERAGE OF | | | |
| | | | 1999 | | | |
| untion (| Agro" | 20# bc + 160# sd | 20# bc + 130# sd | 20# bc | | |
| and in | Avg. of | 54# bc | 54# bc | 54# bc | | |
| 201 1 | 12.11 | 224# bc | 224#bc | 224# bc | | |
| 7.01 | 1.8 2.3 | 227000 | 224000 | | | |

Because this field was located next to a backwater area, in 1996 and 1997 portions of this field were flooded numerous times, which caused most results to be lost in those years. Sugar beet results were not used in 1998 because of poor stands. Cal Bricker and students from M.S.U. harvested the corn and navy beans by hand, and Monitor's research department harvested sugar beets. Sucrose, purity and yield in tons were used to determine recoverable sugar per acre.

Soil samples were taken from each small section using a hand probe. These samples were analyzed by Michigan State University. Results for pH, potassium (K), phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) were obtained. Plant tissue was also analyzed by M.S.U. The following tissue was tested; sugar beets, the youngest mature leaf at 12 weeks; corn, ear leaf at tasseling; navy beans, whole plants at flowering. Plants were washed, ground and stored for analysis. Tissue was tested for P, K, Ca, Mg, Zn and Mn.

87

The field at Monton's site had Londo (ype soils with pH fevels around 8.6. All crops were femilized at the following rates for N, P & K. No micromutricats were applied, and chiefy

Recoverable sugar per acre (RWSA), % sugar, recoverable sugar per ton (RWST) and % Purity for sugar beets were not significantly affected by the different lime rates. Yields for corn and navy beans were not affected by these same rates of applied lime.

| NAVY BEANS 598 Broudenst (br | SUGAR AVERA | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| TREATMENT | RWSA | % SUGAR | RWST | TON/A | % PURITY |
| 5 Ton/Acre Every 3 Years | 4595 | 18.59 | 269.6 | 17.17 | 93.97 |
| 3 Ton/Acre Every 3 Years | 4407 | 18.64 | 272.4 | 16.23 | 94.30 |
| 1 Ton/Acre Every 3 Years | 4712 | 18.91 | 275.4 | 17.36 | 94.05 |
| 1 Ton/Acre Every Year | 4549 | 18.89 | 274.5 | 16.71 | 93.98 |
| 0 Tons | 4485 | 18.75 | 272.1 | 16.62 | 93.91 |
| GM | 4550 | 18.76 | 272.8 | 16.82 | 94.04 |
| LSD (5%) | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S |
| CV% | 11.6 | 2.97 | 3.3 | 11.60 | 0.76 |

NAVY BEANS HIGH pH LIME TEST AVERAGE OF 3 YEARS

| | Cwt/ Acre* | % Moisture | Cwt/ Acre* | % Moisture | Cwt/ Acre* | % Moisture | Cwt/ Acre* | % Moisture |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | 1 | 995 | 1998 | | MUNT- | 999 | Avg. of 3 Years | |
| 5 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 12.9 | 19.2 | 10.5 | 17.5 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 16.5 |
| 3 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 12.8 | 18.7 | 12.5 | 16.6 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 16.1 |
| 1 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 14.1 | 19.4 | 11.8 | 16.8 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 16.3 |
| 1 Ton/A/Yr. | 11.9 | 19.3 | 11.8 | 16.0 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 12.2 | 16.5 |
| 0 Ton | 12.6 | 18.0 | 11.9 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 15.5 |
| GM | 12.9 | 18.9 | 11.7 | 16.5 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 16.2 |
| LSD (5%) | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | 1.3 | N/S | 1.07 |
| CV% | 28.8 | 9.6 | 43.6 | 15.4 | 23.4 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 3.5 |

*Adjusted to 18 percent moisture.

| | | | | | CORN | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|--|
| SUANCE | | | | HIGH p | H LIME | TEST | | | | | |
| | | | | AVERAG | SE OF 4 | YEARS | | | | | |
| | | | 0.56 | | | | | | | | |
| tht | Bushels | % | Bushels | % | Bushels | % | Bushels | % | Bushels | % | |
| | /Acre* | Moisture | /Acre* | Moisture | /Acre* | Moisture | /Acre* | Moisture | /Acre* | Moisture | |
| | <u>1995</u> | | <u>1996</u> | | <u>1998</u> | | 1999 | | Avg. of 4 Years | | |
| 5 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 158.8 | 20.7 | 146.5 | 25.0 | 100.3 | 35.8 | 167.6 | 23.0 | 143.3 | 26.1 | |
| 3 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 156.2 | 20.9 | 167.5 | 24.4 | 100.9 | 35.8 | 176.7 | 23.1 | 150.3 | 26.0 | |
| 1 Ton/A/3 Yrs. | 157.7 | 20.9 | 162.8 | 25.2 | 102.9 | 35.4 | 166.0 | 23.3 | 147.3 | 26.2 | |
| 1 Ton/A/Yr. | 154.5 | 20.9 | 163.5 | 24.2 | 98.9 | 35.6 | 166.1 | 22.3 | 145.8 | 25.8 | |
| 0 Ton | 160.2 | 20.7 | 158.9 | 24.0 | 96.0 | 36.9 | 163.2 | 22.5 | 144.6 | 26.0 | |
| GM | 157.5 | 20.8 | 159.8 | 24.6 | 99.8 | 35.9 | 167.9 | 22.8 | 146.3 | 26 | |
| LSD (5%) | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | N/S | |
| CV% | 4.7 | 1.9 | 14.9 | 3.9 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 17.7 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

*Yields converted to 15.5% moisture.

Soil Tests

pH did not change measurably because our spent lime has a pH of about 7.8 and fields were 8.0 when first tested.

| | | | CHA | | 94C/* 0 | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|------|------|------|---------|------|--------|-----------------|
| RATE APPLIED | eria zvel | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 94-99 CHANGE |
| CTONE 2 M | | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 7.00 | 0.04 | 022 |
| 5 ION Every 3 Years | | 8.07 | 8.16 | 8.08 | 8.19 | 7.98 | 8.04 | 033 |
| 3 TON Every 3 Years | | 8.09 | 8.18 | 8.08 | 8.18 | 8.00 | 8.03 | 058 |
| 1 TON Every 3 Years | | 8.08 | 8.14 | 8.08 | 8.15 | 7.95 | 8.04 | 042 |
| 1 TON Every Year | | 8.10 | 8.15 | 8.09 | 8.14 | 7.96 | 8.08 | 017 |
| 0 TON | | 8.08 | 8.14 | 8.07 | 8.12 | 7.95 | 8.03 | 042 |
| GM | | 8.09 | 8.15 | 8.08 | 8.16 | 7.97 | 8.05 | 038 |
| LSD (5%) | | N/S | N/S | N/S | .052 | .046 | N/S | N/S |
| CV% | | .57 | .81 | .59 | .82 | .72 | .85 | TON Even |
| | | 182 | | | 147 5 | | amaY 5 | TON EVIC |

Some higher values in calcium could have been the result of lime not dissolved in the soil. No reason can be given for increases in the check area other than unexplained variability.

89

10.04

| | | | | CHAN | GES IN | CALC | IUM | | | |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| | R | ATE | | | | | | | | 94-99 |
| APPLIED | | | | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | CHANGE |
| 5 TON H | Every 3 | Years | | 5072 | 5766 | 6339 | 6149 | 7840 | 5233 | 161 |
| 3 TON H | Every 3 | Years | | 4918 | 5653 | 6230 | 6056 | 7560 | 5245 | 327 |
| 1 TON I | Every 3 | Years | | 5050 | 5523 | 5990 | 5973 | 7020 | 5151 | 101 |
| 1 TON H | Every Y | ear | | 5094 | 5616 | 6030 | 6064 | 7281 | 5068 | -25 |
| 0 TON | 1.00 | | 10.29 | 5110 | 5467 | 5903 | 5849 | 6891 | 4902 | -208 |
| GM | 0.01 | 0.07 | e 5a1 | 5049 | 5605 | 6098 | 6018 | 7319 | 5120 | 71 |
| LSD (5% | 6) | | | N/S | 221 | 394 | 295 | 495 | 255 | 348 |
| CV% | | | | 7.7 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 6.73 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Magnesium had a downward trend, probably resulting from the lack of magnesium in the waste lime spread on this field.

CHANGES IN MAGNESIUM

| RATE | | | | | | | | 94-99 |
|---------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| APPLIED | | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | CHANGE |
| 5 TON Every 3 Years | | 655 | 681 | 618 | 628 | 650 | 628 | -26 |
| 3 TON Every 3 Years | | 665 | 705 | 626 | 649 | 649 | 627 | -38 |
| 1 TON Every 3 Years | 700 | 704 | 637 | 693 | 659 | 651 | -49 | |
| 1 TON Every Year | | 701 | 709 | 639 | 694 | 677 | 643 | -59 |
| 0 TON | | 711 | 725 | 646 | 700 | 689 | 648 | -63 |
| GM | | 686 | 705 | 633 | 673 | 665 | 639 | -47 |
| LSD (5%) | | 37 | 36 | N/S | 45 | N/S | N/S | N/S |
| CV% | | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 9 | 9.8 | 7.27 | |

Phosphorus and Potassium had upward trends, probably resulting from excess fertilizer applied.

| | CHAN | NGES 1 | | VIOTO | | | |
|---------------------|------|--------|------|-------|------|------|-----------------|
| RATE APPLIED | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 94-99 CHANGE |
| 5 TON Every 3 Years | 136 | 139 | 147 | 155 | 170 | 161 | 25 |
| 3 TON Every 3 Years | 148 | 143 | 149 | 149 | 173 | 164 | 16 |
| 1 TON Every 3 Years | 147 | 144 | 156 | 155 | 182 | 166 | 19 |
| 1 TON Every Year | 146 | 144 | 145 | 152 | 169 | 159 | 13 |
| 0 TON | 148 | 149 | 154 | 162 | 178 | 163 | mileid p15 |
| GM | 145 | 144 | 150 | 155 | 174 | 162 | 17.4 |
| LSD (5%) | N/S | N/S | N/S | 11 | N/S | N/S | 9 |
| CV% | 14.9 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 11.3 | 11.1 | |

90

 $\mathbf{z} \ge \mathbf{z}_{1}$

Sugarbest response to variable soil texture and sallaits

| RATE | THE LOSSIN | | 94-99 | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|
| APPLIED | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | CHANGE |
| 5 TON Every 3 Years | 195 | 198 | 230 | 321 | 360 | 370 | 176 |
| 3 TON Every 3 Years | 201 | 201 | 229 | 332 | 351 | 384 | 184 |
| 1 TON Every 3 Years | 194 | 199 | 238 | 331 | 377 | 380 | 187 187 |
| 1 TON Every Year | 208 | 206 | 232 | 342 | 366 | 372 | 164 |
| 0 TON of hereogen al alte | 202 | 212 | 246 | 354 | 383 | 368 | 166 |
| GM view and meanual of | 200 | 203 | 235 | 336 | 368 | 375 | 175 |
| LSD (5%) | N/S | N/S | N/S | 26 | N/S | N/S | N/S |
| CV% | 10.1 | 10.3 | 14.7 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 8.4 | 19.9 |

CHANGES IN POTASSIUM

Nutrient Concentrations in Plant Tissue Concentrations in Plant Lissue Concentration of P, K, Ca and Mg in sugar beets, corn and navy beans were not significantly affected by different lime rates. (data not shown). There was no effect of lime rate on Mn and Zn concentration in corn leaf tissue. However, both Mn and Zn concentrations in sugar beet leaf tissue and navy bean plants were reduced, but never reached deficient levels. Although the differences were not statistically significant, monitoring of these plants should occur if lime is applied at these higher rates. (KC) near Strutford in the San Joaquin Valley. The soils at both area were clay joams (Table

The IV site was underland with a series of tile drain lines at approximately 2nd (5 feet) noiseusid

This study was a small part of a larger test done by Don Christenson at M.S.U. Its main emphasis was on measuring the yield on corn, soybeans, navy beans, wheat and sugar beets when applying different rates of lime to alkaline soils. Over the six-year study, there was no difference in yield in any of the crops studied.

There were changes in extractable nutrients due to increased lime rate; however, the changes were small. These changes should have little or no effect on yield. Leaf tissue analysis saw a reduction in Mn and Zn concentration in sugar beets and navy beans. Farmers should watch and test for this problem where lime is applied to their alkaline soils. Sugar beets and navy beans can have yield losses with Mn deficiencies and navy beans can also be affected by Zn deficiency. Other micronutrients could also be affected by liming, but no indication of deficiencies was found. However, from other research reports, boron (B) should also be proporties can be based on the analysis of a litrited number of soil rangeles at selected. At the IV site 16 soil samples were taken to a depth of 2 m (6 feet), and chappenited at a

into four equal depths (15 cm or 18 inches). At the KC sits 20 soil samples were tal snoisure

Final results show that farmers could apply lime up to five tons per acre once every three years on Londo soils without having any adverse effects on crop yields. However, testing of nutrients in the soil and plant tissue would be advised.

density, and Se and B using standard procedures. Soil bulk electrical conductivity and correlate

References

data were analyzed using ESAP-95 v2.01R software developed by Letch (2000) for Christenson, D.R., P.B. Brimhall, L. Hubbell, and C.E. Bricker. 2000. Yield of sugar beet, soybean, corn, field bean, and wheat as affected by lime application on alkaline soils. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 32 (9+10), 1145-1154.