PROGRESS REPORT ON THE EFFECT OF SEVERAL FUNGICIDES ON THE CONTROL OF CERCOSPORA LEAF SPOT OF SUGAR BEETS IN ONTARIO

By: C. E. Broadwell and J. W. Aylesworth 1

Cercospora leaf spot (Cercospora beticola) is a fungus disease of sugar beets caused by airborne spores produced on the leaf lesions. The disease itself is not new to Southwestern Ontario in that it reached epidemic proportions in the thirties. Since that time, however, it has been suppressed by the development of blight resistant varieties.

In 1959 blight appeared in serious proportions in some areas and was evident again in 1961 and 1962. This reappearance has caused some concern regarding the amount of resistance which has been maintained in the development of the monogerm types along with the advances made in increased yield and sugar content and blackroot resistance.

Weather conditions, such as high humidity and high temperatures, over a prolonged period, tend to increase the incidence of the disease.

Dr. F. R. Forsyth of the Pesticide Research Institute, London, Ontario, along with the author, reported on protective fungicides in 1962 at the Twelfth General Meeting of the American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists. In this paper some basic work was done for two years on the control of the blight using some new materials. This work has since been transferred to the Canada Department of Agriculture Research Station at Harrow and the Soil Substation at Woodslee. The work reported represents an expansion in the form of larger replicated plots and strip tests of some of the most promising chemicals used by Dr. Forsyth, along with some new materials on trial this year.

Replicated tests were established in cooperation with the Canada Department of Agriculture Soil Substation with locations at the following places which had shown a tendency to take the disease: John VanRaay, Raleigh Township; Bradley Farms, Dover Township; and Canada & Dominion Sugar Experimental Farm, Dover, Township.

In addition to the replicated plots, strip tests were set up at the following locations: Lawrence Kerr, Raleigh Township; Robert Houston, Harwich Township; and Norman Belanger, Dover Township, as well as the Canada & Dominion Sugar Experimental Farm, Dover Township.

1/ Canada & Dominion Sugar Company, Ltd.
 and Canada Department of Agriculture, respectively.

A. Strip Tests:

Growers

Polyram Combi

Dithane M-45

A Sprayfoil Field Boom sprayer was used for the tests. This test was used to check the effectiveness of the following materials at the recommended rates per acre at 3 P.S.I. pressure and 10 gallons water per acre.

Dates of Spraving

Badische Onilin & Soda, Fabrick,

handling product)

Germany (Niagara Brand Chemicals

Rohm & Haas Co. of Canada, West Hill,

drowers	Daves of Spraying					
Lawrence Kerr - Raleigh Robert Houston - Harwich Norman Belanger - Dover C.&D. Sugar Co Dover		20, Sept. 6 21, Sept. 7				
Materials Used	Rate Wa	ter/Acre				
Brestan (tin) Manzate (manganese) Sol-U-Cop (4% Copper) Imperial Oil 862 B-emul Imperial Oil 862 B-emul+	lb./acre lla gal./acre la gal./acre la gal./acre	10 gal. 10 gal. 10 gal. 10 gal.				
Manzate Polyram Combi (Metiram)	$l^{\frac{1}{2}}$ gal. +2 lbs./acre 2 lbs./acre	10 gal. 10 gal.				
Dithane M-45 (manganese + zinc)	$2\frac{1}{2}$ lbs./acre	10 gal.				
Source of Material						
Brestan	Hoechst Chemical Co., (Niagara Brand Chemic product)					
Manzate Sol-U-Cop (TC-90) Imperial Oil 862 B-emul	Dupont of Canada, Ltd., Montreal, Que. Tennessee Corp., College Park, Georgia Imperial Oil Co., Sarnia, Ontario					

Strip Tests Results - (1/200th ac. plots)

The tables which follow are the average of 4 fields with 4 dates of spraying and 3 dates of spraying, respectively.

Ontario

(See following page for Tables)

4 Sprays From July 31st to September 7th

	Plant pulation	Tops Tons/Ac.	Beets Tons/Ac.	% Sugar	Gross Lbs. Sugar/Ac.
Manzate Manzate Check Brestan Brestan Check Polyram Combi Polyram Combi Ck. Sol-U-Cop Sol-U-Cop Ck.	21,200 21,900 21,000 23,200 21,800 21,800 22,300 20,500	12.2 11.3 15.5 12.4 13.2 11.9 11.7	19.4 19.8 23.3 20.6 22.7 22.1 20.5 22.5	14.8 14.2 15.0 14.6 15.1 14.9 15.2 14.7	5,765 5,635 6,994 6,001 6,850 6,577 6,225 6,599
Imperial Oil (862 B-emul)	33,600	17.1	19.1	13.7	5,233
Imperial Oil (862 B-emul Ck.) Imperial Oil +	27,200	13.0	18.7	15.0	5,610
Manzate Imperial Oil 4	35,600	16.9	20.4	13.9	5,671
Manzate Ck.	23,800	16.1	17.3	15.6	5,398

3 Sprays - August 8, 20 and September 6 (1/200th ac. plots)

Material	Plant	Tops	Beets	%	Gross Lbs.
	Population	Tons/Ac.	Tons/Ac.	Sugar	Sugar/Ac.
Brestan Brestan Check Sol-U-Cop Sol-U-Cop Check Dithane M-45 Dithane M-45 Ck	17,250	23.6 16.5 17.3 15.0 10.6 8.4	28.8 24.2 23.9 23.1 23.0	15.2 14.7 16.2 15.0 15.0	8,755 7,115 7,744 6,930 6,862 5,608

The strip tests will be expanded in 1963 to include additional materials, various rates and possibly new sprayers.

B. Replicated Plots:

These plots were 35 feet long and 6 rows wide. Each treatment was replicated 6 times in 3 different locations. The materials, rates and number of sprays used were as follows:

Material	Rate	Water/Acre		
Sol-U-Cop	l gal./acre	12 gal.		
Brestan	½ lb./acre	12 gal.		
Manzate	2 lbs./acre	12 gal.		

Number of sprays 0, 2, 4, 6 replicated 6 times.

A Sprayfoil Utilitaire sprayer specially adapted for plot work was used for the small plots.

The sprays were applied on the following dates:

Growers Date of Spr		aying							
John VanRaay, Raleigh Bradley Farms, Dover C.&D. Sugar Experimental	July July	4,	16,	25,	Aug.	7, 7,	20,	Sept.	6
Farm, Dover	July	6,	18,	27,	Aug.	8,	22,	Sept.	7

The center 4 rows were harvested for yield and sugar data (using 2 samples of 5 beets each selected at random for sugar analysis). The results were statistically analyzed and are as follows:

	SUM		BLIGHT TE ATED PLOT			
Treatment	Tons Beets/Ac.	% Sugar	Tons Tops/Ac.	Gross Returns Per Ac.	Spraying Costs/Ac.	Net Returns Per Ac.
Manzate 0 2 4 6	18.80 19.67 21.54* 22.04*	14.1 14.2 14.7* 15.0*		215.82 227.39 257.62 268.89	7.60 14.20 22.80	215.82 219.79 243.42 246.09
TC-90 0 2 4 6	19.45 19.54 19.69 18.59	13.8 14.1 14.6* 14.7*	10.37 10.95 11.48 11.56	218.62 224.32 233.92 222.34		
Brestan 0 2 4 6	20.23 21.53 23.02* 23.21*	14.2 14.5 15.0* 15.1*	10.59 13.71* 15.42* 16.56*	233.86 254.05 280.84 285.02	6.58 13.16 19.74	233.86 247.47 267.68 265.28

*Significance at 5% level

Root Yield

L.S.D. 5% = 2.18 tons/ac.

Sugar % L.S.D. 5% = .4%

Tops
L.S.D. 5% = 1.54 tons/ac.

CERCOSPORA LEAF SPOT TEST 1962

Average of 3 Test Plots

Treatment	Gross Returns Per Acre	Spraying Costs Per Acre	Net Returns Per Acre	Profit From Spraying
Manzate 0 2 4 6	215.82 227.39 257.62 268.89	7.60 14.20 22.80	215.82 219.79 243.42 246.09	3.97 27.60 30.27
Brestan 0 2 4 6	233.86 254.05 280.84 285.02	6.58 13.16 19.74	233.86 247.47 267.68 265.28	13.61 33.82 31.42

Gross returns per acre calculated at estimated contract price for applicable sugar content and tons per acre.

Conclusion:

A. Strip Tests

The strip tests were not tested for significance, however, there seems to be a definite trend with some of the materials indicating good control. This work will be expanded and elaborated upon in 1963.

B. Replicated Plots

Some very definite results accrued from the small plots which are statistically significant, namely, the four sprays of Brestan and Manzate gave a significant increase in tons of beets, tops and sugar percent per acre.

The net returns per acre increased with the Manzate up to the 6 sprays, whereas, 4 sprays were sufficient with the Brestan to give a maximum net return.

These results represent one year's work and will be repeated in 1963.