



## *Journal of Sugar Beet Research*

### **Ethics Policy**

**Revised: September 15, 2025**

These statements are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics' Guidelines for Journal Editors.

### **DUTIES OF THE EDITOR(S)**

#### **Publication decisions**

The editor of the journal is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editor is guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

#### **Fair play**

An editor will at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

#### **Confidentiality**

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

#### **Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

### **DUTIES OF REVIEWERS**

#### **Contribution to Editorial Decisions**

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions. Editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

#### **Promptness**

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and be excused from the review process.

#### **Fair play**

A reviewer will at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

### **Standards of Objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly, professionally, and with supporting arguments.

### **Acknowledgement of Sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by at least one relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

### **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors connected to the paper. Consult with the editor if uncertain about a conflict. Working for the same company or institution is acceptable if there is no direct interaction and the reviewer can be fair and objective.

## **DUTIES OF AUTHORS**

### **Reporting standards**

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

### **Originality and Plagiarism**

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work, ideas, and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited and/or quoted.

### **AI Use Disclosure Policy**

In the interest of research integrity and transparency, authors are required to disclose any use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the preparation of their manuscript. Additionally, authors are responsible for verifying the accuracy and originality of all text, data, analyses, and references produced with AI assistance.

#### **1. Disclosure Requirement**

- Authors must indicate in the manuscript whether AI tools (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot, or similar software) were used in any stage of the research or manuscript preparation process.
- This includes, but is not limited to:

- Drafting or editing text (including language polishing or rephrasing),
- Conducting literature searches or reviews,
- Performing or assisting with data analysis or statistical calculations.

## **2. Prohibited Uses**

- AI tools must not be credited as authors.
- The use of AI tools to generate, manipulate, or fabricate data, images, figures, tables, or references is strictly prohibited and constitutes scientific misconduct.

## **3. How to Report AI Use**

- If AI tools were used, a disclosure statement must be included in the manuscript in an *AI Statement*” section after the *Acknowledgements* section. Example wording:  

“Portions of the text were edited with the assistance of [tool name] to improve clarity and grammar. All content was reviewed and verified by the authors.”
- Please include the original prompts and AI returns as an appendix to the paper.

## **Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication**

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same and/or a closely related manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

## **Acknowledgement of Sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

## **Authorship of the Paper**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

## **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest**

All authors must disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

## **Fundamental errors in published works**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.